



Title of Proposal - Minerva Cut Back Project

Section 1 - Summary of your proposed action

Provide a summary of your proposed action, including any consultations undertaken.

1.1 Project Industry Type

Energy Generation and Supply (non-renewable)

1.2 Provide a detailed description of the proposed action, including all proposed activities.

Gas from the Casino Henry Netherby fields is currently processed at the Iona Gas Plant.

To maintain well deliverability and maximise reserves recovery, the plant inlet pressure needs to be reduced. This is not achievable without incremental compression at the Iona Gas Plant.

An alternative option is to produce the fields through the nearby Minerva Gas Plant, which is expected to cease operation in 2018. Processing of the gas through the Minerva Gas Plant can be achieved without the need for additional compression facilities and presents an economical alternative route to market.

Front end engineering design (FEED) studies have been completed for this option and have confirmed the feasibility of redirecting Casino Henry Netherby production into the Minerva pipeline and through to the Minerva Gas Plant - "the Minerva Cut Back Project".

Proposed Minerva Cut Back Project Modifications:

1. Construction of a jumpover from the Casino Mainline Valve (MLV) Station to the Minerva Pipeline to redirect Casino Henry Netherby production into the Minerva Gas Plant. The new section of pipeline will be approximately 250mm diameter, 250m long, constructed entirely within one landowners property (farmed land used for cropping) and tied in via a buried connection. The existing Minerva Pipeline will be cut and disconnected from the offshore Minerva wells at the entrance of the horizontal directional drill (HDD).
2. Mono ethylene glycol (MEG) for injection at the Casino Henry Netherby wells will be supplied from the Minerva Gas Plant utilising the 2 existing MEG flowlines and spare cores within the Minerva umbilical. Four (4) 50mm MEG injection flowlines will also be constructed in the same trench as the gas pipeline to allow MEG from Minerva to be supplied to the MLV Station.
3. A new fibre optic cable will be installed from the Minerva Gas Plant to the existing Minerva Topsides Umbilical Termination Assembly (TUTA) utilising a spare core in the existing Minerva umbilical. Communications cabinetry will be installed at both the gas plant and HDD end. A new fibre optic conduit will also be installed in the same 250m trench as the gas pipeline to allow communications between the Minerva Plant and the MLV Station. Monitoring (but not control) of



the Minerva wells will be retained.

4. The existing Casino Pipeline has an impressed current cathodic protection system; this will be bonded to the Minerva Pipeline to allow both pipelines to be protected from corrosion by the same system.

5. Minor modifications will also be made at the Minerva Gas Plant to process the raw gas from the Casino Henry Netherby fields.

Referral Form Notes:

1. The referenced documents listed in Section 7 cannot be attached due to the file size (significantly greater than the limit of 5 MB). These can be provided on request. An extract of the specific sections which have been referenced has been attached.
2. The estimated start and end dates for the action stated in Section 1.11 below is the earliest expected construction start date and the latest expected construction completion date. The actual construction start date is dependent on the cessation of Minerva production (which impacts when the Minerva Gas Plant and Pipeline will become available) and is expected be between May 2018 and December 2018. The expected construction period duration is 12 weeks.

1.3 What is the extent and location of your proposed action? Use the polygon tool on the map below to mark the location of your proposed action.

Area	Point	Latitude	Longitude
Minerva Pipeline Extension	1	-38.61558579503	142.96395252864
Minerva Pipeline Extension	2	-38.615883264475	142.96663130435
Minerva Pipeline Extension	3	-38.615883264475	142.96662438436
Minerva Pipeline Extension	4	-38.61558579503	142.96395252864

1.5 Provide a brief physical description of the property on which the proposed action will take place and the location of the proposed action (e.g. proximity to major towns, or for off-shore actions, shortest distance to mainland).

The property on which the proposed action will take place is flat farmland used for cropping activities.



The property is adjacent to the Great Ocean Road in Victoria. The closest town is Port Campbell, which is approximately 3 km to the east. The closest major town is Warnambool, which is approximately 48 km to the north west.

1.6 What is the size of the proposed action area development footprint (or work area) including disturbance footprint and avoidance footprint (if relevant)?

10,800 sqm

1.7 Is the proposed action a street address or lot?

Lot

1.7.2 Describe the lot number and title. Crown Allotment 2 Section 6 Parish of Paaratte

1.8 Primary Jurisdiction.

Victoria

1.9 Has the person proposing to take the action received any Australian Government grant funding to undertake this project?

No

1.10 Is the proposed action subject to local government planning approval?

No

1.11 Provide an estimated start and estimated end date for the proposed action.

Start date 05/2018

End date 03/2019

1.12 Provide details of the context, planning framework and State and/or Local government requirements.

The Pipeline extension will constitute a significant alteration to an authorised pipeline route under the Pipelines Act 2005 (Vic)(Section 68).

An EPA Works Approval Pathways Form will also be submitted in accordance with the Environmental Protection Act 1970 (Vic). This is mainly for the minor works being implemented within the Minerva Gas Plant.

A self assessment of the Environmental Effects Act, 1978, has determined that an EES referral is not required.



1.13 Describe any public consultation that has been, is being or will be undertaken, including with Indigenous stakeholders.

Consultation with the impacted landowner will be undertaken in accordance with the Pipelines Act (Vic) 2005. A pipeline consultation plan is being drafted which outlines this process.

1.14 Describe any environmental impact assessments that have been or will be carried out under Commonwealth, State or Territory legislation including relevant impacts of the project.

The Minerva Development Project (2002-2004) included the installation of offshore wells, the Minerva Pipeline and the Minerva Gas Plant. For this project a comprehensive Environmental Impact Study (EIS) was completed and this has been referenced in this referral and can be provided upon request.

The Casino Gas Field Development Project (2004-2006) included the installation of offshore wells and the Casino Gas Pipeline. For this project a comprehensive Environmental Report was completed and this has been referenced in this referral and can be provided upon request.

The study areas in both of these previous studies covers the pipeline extension area. Therefore it is not proposed to complete any additional environmental studies.

1.15 Is this action part of a staged development (or a component of a larger project)?

No

1.16 Is the proposed action related to other actions or proposals in the region?

No



Section 2 - Matters of National Environmental Significance

Describe the affected area and the likely impacts of the proposal, emphasising the relevant matters protected by the EPBC Act. Refer to relevant maps as appropriate. The [interactive map tool](#) can help determine whether matters of national environmental significance or other matters protected by the EPBC Act are likely to occur in your area of interest. Consideration of likely impacts should include both direct and indirect impacts.

Your assessment of likely impacts should consider whether a bioregional plan is relevant to your proposal. The following resources can assist you in your assessment of likely impacts:

- [Profiles of relevant species/communities](#) (where available), that will assist in the identification of whether there is likely to be a significant impact on them if the proposal proceeds;
- [Significant Impact Guidelines 1.1 – Matters of National Environmental Significance](#);
- [Significant Impact Guideline 1.2 – Actions on, or impacting upon, Commonwealth land and Actions by Commonwealth Agencies](#).

2.1 Is the proposed action likely to have ANY direct or indirect impact on the values of any World Heritage properties?

No

2.2 Is the proposed action likely to have ANY direct or indirect impact on the values of any National Heritage places?

No

2.3 Is the proposed action likely to have ANY direct or indirect impact on the ecological character of a Ramsar wetland?

No

2.4 Is the proposed action likely to have ANY direct or indirect impact on the members of any listed species or any threatened ecological community, or their habitat?

Yes

2.4.1 Impact table

Species	Impact
The following species have been identified as inIt is not expected this project will have any	



Species	Impact
the general area from the EPBC Act Protected Matters Report. Birds Anthochaera Phrygia - Regent Honeyeater [82338] Botaurus Poiciloptilus - Australasian Bittern [1001] Calidris Ferruginea - Curlew Sandpiper [856] Diomedea Antipodensis - Antipodean Albatross [64458] Diomedea Epomophora - Southern Royal Albatross [89221] Diomedea Exulans - Wandering Albatross [89223] Diomedea Sanfordi - Northern Royal Albatross [64456] Grantiella Picta - Painted Honeyeater [470] Lathamus Discolour - Swift Parrot [744] Limosa Lapponica Baueri - Bar-tailed Gogwit [86380] Limosa Lapponica Menzbieri - Bar-tailed Gogwit [86432] Macronectes Giganteus - Southern Giant-Petrel [1060] Macronectes Halli - Northern Giant Petrel [1061] Neophema Chrysogaster - Orange-bellied Parrot [747] Numenius Madagascariensis - Eastern Curlew [847] Pachyptila turtur Subantarctica - Fairy Prion [64445] Rostratula Australis, Australian Painted Snipe [77037] Sternula Nereis Nereis, Australian Fairy Tern [82950] Thalassarche Bulleri, Butler's Albatross [64460] Thalassarche Bulleri Platei, Northern Bulter's Albatross [82273] Thalassarche Cautus Cautus, Shy Albatross [82345] Thalassarche Chrysostoma, Grey-headed Albatross [66491] Thalassarche Impavida, Campbell Albatross [64459] Thalassarche Melanophrys, Black-browed Albatross [66472] Thalassarche Salvini, Salvin's Albatross [64463] Thinornis Rubricollis Rubicollis, Hooded Plover [66726] Frogs Litoria Raniformis, Growling Grass Frog [1828] Mammals Antechinus Minimus Maritimus, Swamp Antechinus [83086] Dasyurus Maculatus Maculatus, Spot-tailed Quoll [75184] Isoodon Obesulus Obesulus, Southern Brown Bandicoots [68050] Miniopterus Orianae Bassanii, Southern Bent-wing Bat [87645] Potorous Tridactylus Tridactylus, Long-nosed Potoroo [66645] Pseudomys Fumeus, Smoky Mouse [88] Pteropus Poliocephalus, Grey-headed Flying-fox [186] Reptiles Caretta Caretta, Loggerhead Turtle [1763] Chelonia Mydas, Green Turtle [1765] Dermochelys	impact on any of the species because only a small section (approximately 270m x 40m) of farmed, regularly cultivated land will be disturbed (cleared, trenched, backfilled and reinstated). Both the Minerva EIS (Section 8.7.4) and the Casino Environmental Report (Executive Summary) state the impact to flora and fauna on the farmed land section of the pipeline is not significant.



Species	Impact
Coriacea, Leatherback Turtle [1768]	

2.4.2 Do you consider this impact to be significant?

No

2.5 Is the proposed action likely to have ANY direct or indirect impact on the members of any listed migratory species, or their habitat?

Yes

2.5.1 Impact table

Species	Impact
The following species have been identified as in the general area from the EPBC Act Protected Matters Report. Terrestrial Species Hirundapus Caudacutus, White-throated Needletail [682] Motacilla Flava, Yellow Wagtail [644] Myiagra Cyanoleuca, Satin Flycatcher [612] Rhipidura Rufifrons, Rufous Fantail [592]	It is not expected this project will have any impact on any of the species because only a small section (approximately 270m x 40m) of farmed, regularly cultivated land will be disturbed (cleared, trenched, backfilled and reinstated). Both the Minerva EIS (Section 8.7.4) and the Casino Environmental Report (Executive Summary) state the impact to flora and fauna on the farmed land section of the pipeline is not significant.

2.5.2 Do you consider this impact to be significant?

No

2.6 Is the proposed action to be undertaken in a marine environment (outside Commonwealth marine areas)?

No

2.7 Is the proposed action to be taken on or near Commonwealth land?

No

2.8 Is the proposed action taking place in the Great Barrier Reef Marine Park?



No

2.9 Is the proposed action likely to have ANY direct or indirect impact on a water resource related to coal/gas/mining?

No

2.10 Is the proposed action a nuclear action?

No

2.11 Is the proposed action to be taken by the Commonwealth agency?

No

2.12 Is the proposed action to be undertaken in a Commonwealth Heritage Place Overseas?

No

2.13 Is the proposed action likely to have ANY direct or indirect impact on a water resource related to coal/gas/mining?

No



Section 3 - Description of the project area

Provide a description of the project area and the affected area, including information about the following features (where relevant to the project area and/or affected area, and to the extent not otherwise addressed in Section 2).

3.1 Describe the flora and fauna relevant to the project area.

The pipeline will be constructed through cleared farmland which is largely dominated by introduced species with disturbed remnants of native vegetation. The impacts of construction are expected to be minor.

Refer to Section 8.7.4 of the attached Minerva EIS Main Report for further information (attached with section 2 of the report).

3.2 Describe the hydrology relevant to the project area (including water flows).

There are no water courses in the proposed pipeline extension area.

Ground water will be protected during pipeline construction by appropriately bunding any fuels, lubricants and chemicals.

3.3 Describe the soil and vegetation characteristics relevant to the project area.

The soil and vegetation characteristics relevant to the project area is "Tertiary Plain". Refer to the Minerva EIS Main Report Figure 8.6.

The Minerva EIS Volume 3 defines Tertiary Plain soil as:

Tertiary Plain is flat to gentle undulating land with slope gradients typically less than 2%. Soils are generally yellow duplex with loam or sandy loam topsoils, including a bleached layer and a light to medium clay subsoil. Topsoil permeability is moderate to high because of the sandy soils, however vertical drainage of water through the soil would be impeded by the hard setting bleached layer and clay subsoil. These soil characteristics combined with the flatness of the land form result in moderate seasonal waterlogging of soils over much of this land unit.

This land poses low levels of constraints to development, provided that construction is undertaken with care to minimise the potential for erosion.

3.4 Describe any outstanding natural features and/or any other important or unique values relevant to the project area.



None identified

3.5 Describe the status of native vegetation relevant to the project area.

The land is cleared farmland with native vegetation confined to disturbed remnants along the roadsides (Refer to the Minerva EIS Main Report Section 6.10). No work is proposed to the roadside and hence native vegetation will not be impacted.

3.6 Describe the gradient (or depth range if action is to be taken in a marine area) relevant to the project area.

Not Applicable

3.7 Describe the current condition of the environment relevant to the project area.

The current condition of the the environment is farmed land.

3.8 Describe any Commonwealth Heritage Places or other places recognised as having heritage values relevant to the project area.

The project is located near the Great Ocean Road. The proposed development will not impact the area.

3.9 Describe any Indigenous heritage values relevant to the project area.

The Minerva EIS Main Report Secion 6.11 describes the history of aboriginal people and landuse in the area, including a list of all Aboriginal Archaeological Sites in the project area. Figure 6.29 in the EIS is a map of these Aboriginal Archaeological sites and shows that all sites in the immediate area are south of the Great Ocean Road, the pipeline extension is being constructed north of the Great Ocean Road and will therefore not impact any Aboriginal Archaeological Sites.

3.10 Describe the tenure of the action area (e.g. freehold, leasehold) relevant to the project area.

The pipeline extension is to be constructed in one landowner's property (freehold). A pipeline easement will be sought in accordance with Pipelines Act 2005.

3.11 Describe any existing or any proposed uses relevant to the project area.



The land is farmed and there are two existing buried gas pipelines (Minerva and Casino).

The land will continue to be farmed (except during pipeline construction activities).



Section 4 - Measures to avoid or reduce impacts

Provide a description of measures that will be implemented to avoid, reduce, manage or offset any relevant impacts of the action. Include, if appropriate, any relevant reports or technical advice relating to the feasibility and effectiveness of the proposed measures.

Examples of relevant measures to avoid or reduce impacts may include the timing of works, avoidance of important habitat, specific design measures, or adoption of specific work practices.

4.1 Describe the measures you will undertake to avoid or reduce impact from your proposed action.

Best practise pipeline construction techniques will be utilised to minimise the impact on the environment.

These include:

Alignment selected to minimise the impact

Fauna escape ramps in trenches

Livestock excluded from trenches

Minimisation of open trench and bell holes

Keeping land and vegetation disturbance to a minimum

Construction vehicles will only drive on the right of way and dedicated access ways

The workforce will be fully briefed and informed regarding environmental management

Environmental monitoring during construction

Topsoil will be separated and re-instated

Restoration follow up

Any fuels, lubricants or chemicals will be banded

Work will be undertaken by experienced contractors working in accordance with the Cooper Energy Health, Safety, Environmental and Community Management System (HSEC MS)



4.2 For matters protected by the EPBC Act that may be affected by the proposed action, describe the proposed environmental outcomes to be achieved.

No matters protected by the EPBC Act are expected to be impacted by the Minerva Cut Back Project construction activities.



Section 5 – Conclusion on the likelihood of significant impacts

A checkbox tick identifies each of the matters of National Environmental Significance you identified in section 2 of this application as likely to be a significant impact.

Review the matters you have identified below. If a matter ticked below has been incorrectly identified you will need to return to Section 2 to edit.

5.1.1 World Heritage Properties

No

5.1.2 National Heritage Places

No

5.1.3 Wetlands of International Importance (declared Ramsar Wetlands)

No

5.1.4 Listed threatened species or any threatened ecological community

No

5.1.5 Listed migratory species

No

5.1.6 Commonwealth marine environment

No

5.1.7 Protection of the environment from actions involving Commonwealth land

No

5.1.8 Great Barrier Reef Marine Park

No

5.1.9 A water resource, in relation to coal/gas/mining

No



5.1.10 Protection of the environment from nuclear actions

No

5.1.11 Protection of the environment from Commonwealth actions

No

5.1.12 Commonwealth Heritage places overseas

No

5.2 If no significant matters are identified, provide the key reasons why you think the proposed action is not likely to have a significant impact on a matter protected under the EPBC Act and therefore not a controlled action.

The key reasons we do not believe the proposed action is likely to have a significant impact on a matter protected under the EPBC Act are:

1. The pipeline extension is 250m long and constructed in cleared farmland which is regularly cultivated.
2. The activities will be undertaken by an experienced contractor in accordance with the Cooper Energy Health, Safety, Environment and Community Management System (HSEC MS).



Section 6 – Environmental record of the person proposing to take the action

Provide details of any proceedings under Commonwealth, State or Territory law against the person proposing to take the action that pertain to the protection of the environment or the conservation and sustainable use of natural resources.

6.1 Does the person taking the action have a satisfactory record of responsible environmental management? Please explain in further detail.

Cooper Energy Limited, and its subsidiaries (including Cooper Energy (CH) Pty Ltd) operates in a manner that ensures the impacts and risks to the environment are eliminated or minimised to as low as reasonably practicable (ALARP).

The company has maintained a clean environmental record since its incorporation.

6.2 Provide details of any past or present proceedings under a Commonwealth, State or Territory law for the protection of the environment or the conservation and sustainable use of natural resources against either (a) the person proposing to take the action or, (b) if a permit has been applied for in relation to the action – the person making the application.

No past or present proceedings against Cooper Energy exist under these Environmental Laws.

6.3 If it is a corporation undertaking the action will the action be taken in accordance with the corporation's environmental policy and framework?

Yes

6.3.1 If the person taking the action is a corporation, please provide details of the corporation's environmental policy and planning framework.

The HSEC Management System (HSEC MS) is Cooper Energy's corporate system which provides the framework for the delivery of Cooper Energy's values, policies, standards and practices related to health, safety, environment and community. The HSEC MS consists of the following principle components:

1. HSEC Policy which sets the direction and corporate expectations.
2. The HSEC MS Standards and their corresponding Standard Instructions detail the mandatory performance requirements for effective health, safety, environment and community across all Cooper Energy's activities to achieve the objectives of the HSEC Policy and an acceptable



level of environmental performance.

The Cooper Energy HSEC Policy provides a clear commitment to eliminate or minimise impacts and risks to the environment to a level which is ALARP and to monitor performance through effective targets and objectives.

HSEC performance (inclusive of environmental performance) and improvement plans are integrated into the Cooper Energy business planning cycle. Company-wide objectives that address significant HSEC risk and compliance obligations, technical, financial, operational and business requirements and are specific, measurable, agreed, realistic and timely (SMART) are developed and reviewed annually.

6.4 Has the person taking the action previously referred an action under the EPBC Act, or been responsible for undertaking an action referred under the EPBC Act?

Yes

6.4.1 EPBC Act No and/or Name of Proposal.

Roc Oil Company referred the Basker Manta Gummy (BMG) Facilities – EPBC Referral 2011/6052 (Non Production Phase Activities)

Cooper Energy have been the Titleholder and Environmental Operator for BMG since 11 July 2014 and acquired the referral as part of the acquisition.



Section 7 – Information sources

You are required to provide the references used in preparing the referral including the reliability of the source.

7.1 List references used in preparing the referral (please provide the reference source reliability and any uncertainties of source).

Reference Source	Reliability	Uncertainties
Minerva Gas Field Development - Main Report - Environmental Impact Statement (Volume 1) Minerva Gas Field Development - Technical Reports - Environmental Impact Statement (Volume 3)	Reliable	None
Environmental Report - Casino Gas Field Development, CR 1068_7, May 2004	Reliable	None



Section 8 – Proposed alternatives

You are required to complete this section if you have any feasible alternatives to taking the proposed action (including not taking the action) that were considered but not proposed.

8.0 Provide a description of the feasible alternative?

An alternative to taking the proposed action is to construct a new (approximately) 4.5 km pipeline from the Casino Main Line Valve Station to the Minvera Gas Plant, which would represent a greater disturbance.

8.1 Select the relevant alternatives related to your proposed action.

8.27 Do you have another alternative?

No



Section 9 – Contacts, signatures and declarations

Where applicable, you must provide the contact details of each of the following entities: Person Proposing the Action; Proposed Designated Proponent and; Person Preparing the Referral. You will also be required to provide signed declarations from each of the identified entities.

9.0 Is the person proposing to take the action an Organisation or an Individual?

Organisation

9.2 Organisation

9.2.1 Job Title

General Manager Development

9.2.2 First Name

Duncan

9.2.3 Last Name

Clegg

9.2.4 E-mail

duncanc@cooperenergy.com.au

9.2.5 Postal Address

Level 10

60 Waymouth Street
Adelaide SA 5000
Australia

9.2.6 ABN/ACN

ABN

93096170295 - COOPER ENERGY LIMITED

9.2.7 Organisation Telephone



(08) 8100 4900

9.2.8 Organisation E-mail

duncanc@cooperenergy.com.au

9.2.9 I qualify for exemption from fees under section 520(4C)(e)(v) of the EPBC Act because I am:

Not applicable

Small Business Declaration

I have read the Department of the Environment and Energy's guidance in the online form concerning the definition of a small a business entity and confirm that I qualify for a small business exemption.

Signature:..... Date:


9.2.9.2 I would like to apply for a waiver of full or partial fees under Schedule 1, 5.21A of the EPBC Regulations

No


9.2.9.3 Under sub regulation 5.21A(5), you must include information about the applicant (if not you) the grounds on which the waiver is sought and the reasons why it should be made

Declaration

I, DUNCAN CLEGG, declare that to the best of my knowledge the information I have given on, or attached to the EPBC Act Referral is complete, current and correct. I understand that giving false or misleading information is a serious offence. I declare that I am not taking the action on behalf of or for the benefit of any other person or entity.

Signature:  Date: 4th Sept 2017

I, DUNCAN CLEGG, the person proposing the action, consent to the designation of DUNCAN CLEGG as the proponent of the purposes of the action describe in this EPBC Act Referral.

Signature:  Date: 4th Sep^t 2017



9.3 Is the Proposed Designated Proponent an Organisation or Individual?

Organisation

9.5 Organisation

9.5.1 Job Title

General Manager Development

9.5.2 First Name

Duncan

9.5.3 Last Name

Clegg

9.5.4 E-mail

duncanc@cooperenergy.com.au

9.5.5 Postal Address

Level 10

60 Waymouth Street
Adelaide SA 5000
Australia

9.5.6 ABN/ACN

ABN

70615355023 - COOPER ENERGY (CH) PTY. LTD.

9.5.7 Organisation Telephone

(08) 8100 4900

9.5.8 Organisation E-mail

duncanc@cooperenergy.com.au

Declaration



I, DUNCAN CLEGG, the proposed designated proponent, consent to the designation of myself as the proponent for the purposes of the action described in this EPBC Act Referral.

Signature: [Signature] Date: 4th Sept 2017

9.6 Is the Referring Party an Organisation or Individual?

Organisation

9.8 Organisation

9.8.1 Job Title

Senior Process Engineer

9.8.2 First Name

Sarah

9.8.3 Last Name

Greening

9.8.4 E-mail

sarah.greening@gpaeng.com.au

9.8.5 Postal Address

121 Greenhill Road
Unley SA 5061
Australia

9.8.6 ABN/ACN

ABN

71576133774 - G P A UNIT TRUST

9.8.7 Organisation Telephone

(08) 8299 8300

9.8.8 Organisation E-mail



Australian Government

Department of the Environment and Energy

Submission #2176 - Minerva Cut Back Project

sarahgreening@gpaeng.com.au

Declaration

I, Sarah Greening, I declare that to the best of my knowledge the information I have given on, or attached to this EPBC Act Referral is complete, current and correct. I understand that giving false or misleading information is a serious offence.

Signature: S. Greening Date: 7/9/17



Appendix A - Attachments

The following attachments have been supplied with this EPBC Act Referral:

1. casino_env_report_-_exec_summary.pdf
2. coe-ms-pol-0001_hsec_policy.pdf
3. location_plan.pdf
4. minerva_eis_-_fig_8.6_and_vol_3.pdf
5. minerva_eis_-_sec_8.7.4.pdf
6. minerva_eis_-_section_6.10_6.11_fig_6.29.pdf