

EPBC Act referral



Australian Government

Department of Agriculture, Water and the Environment

Note: PDF may contain fields not relevant to your application. These fields will appear blank or unticked. Please disregard these fields.

Title of proposal	2021/8988 - St Vincent's Hospital Melbourne Aikenhead Wing Demolition
Section 1	
Summary of your proposed action	
1.1 Project industry type	Commercial Development
1.2 Provide a detailed description of the proposed action, including all proposed activities	
<p>The proposal is for the demolition of the existing Aikenhead Wing (the subject site, including partial demolition of adjoining buildings) and site clearance works at 27 Victoria Parade, Fitzroy, as outlined below. The subject site forms part of the St Vincent's Hospital Melbourne complex.</p> <p>Details of the works as proposed are as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Installation of façade protection works to the nominated areas on the adjacent Daly Wing building• Disconnection and diversion of infrastructure and services to the existing Aikenhead Wing and adjoining buildings.• Diversion and relocation of overhead Authority assets on Victoria Parade and Nicholson Street.• Full Demolition of the Aikenhead Wing including:<ul style="list-style-type: none">o hazardous materials removal,o erection of site hoarding around the perimeter of the site at street level,o construction of temporary overhead protection gantries over Nicholson St and Victoria Parade footpaths,o erection of full-height perimeter scaffolding to the building, ando top-down floor-by-floor progressive demolition of the building using demolition machinery.• Full demolition of the adjacent low-height Daly Wing Infill building.• Partial demolition of the adjacent low-height Brenan Hall building. <p>As outlined above, the proposed demolition will be undertaken progressively, beginning with the upper levels of the Aikenhead Wing and progressing downwards. The scaffolding to be erected around the building (to the height of the existing building) will be lowered according to the stage of demolition as the works progress. The anticipated timeframe for the demolition of the building is approximately ten months. Site hoarding would remain in place following site clearance works.</p> <p>The site and extent of demolition works are shown in Att 1-Demolition drawings, and described in Att 2-Referral Report and HIS, Section 5.0, p. 33.</p> <p>The proposed demolition works (as described above and in Att 2-Referral Report and HIS, Section 5.0, p. 33) will not directly impact on any Matters of National Environmental Significance (MNES) and will have no impact on the environment on Commonwealth land. There is potential for indirect impact on MNES as related to the World and National Heritage values of the Royal Exhibition Buildings (REB) and Carlton Gardens. This is limited to a change in the extended setting of the REB and Carlton Gardens, relating to the demolition of an existing building in proximity to the south-east corner of the Carlton Gardens. An assessment of potential impacts is provided in Att 2-Referral Report and HIS, Section 6.2, pp. 35-39.</p>	
1.3 What is the extent and location of your proposed action?	
See Appendix B	
1.5 Provide a brief physical description of the property on which the proposed action will take place and the location of the proposed action (e.g. proximity to major towns, or for off-shore actions, shortest distance to mainland)	
<p>The St Vincent's Hospital complex is located at the corner of Victoria Parade and Nicholson Street, Fitzroy, and is generally bounded by Fitzroy Street to the east and Alma and Gertrude streets to the north. The hospital complex consists of a number of medical research buildings and the main public hospital building.</p> <p>The subject site (Aikenhead Wing) is located in the south-west corner of the hospital complex. It is an eleven-storey steel framed cream brick building made up of a two-level podium form, projecting bay on the south elevation to Victoria Parade and uniform steel-framed sash and multi-pane windows throughout.</p> <p>The adjoining buildings include Brenan Hall, which is a single-storey rendered masonry building with a symmetrical façade surmounted by a prominent arched parapet and pitched roof, and a brick clad infill building to the Daly Wing, situated to the rear of Brenan Hall.</p>	
1.6 What is the size of the proposed action area development footprint (or work area) including disturbance footprint and avoidance footprint (if relevant)?	
The total area of the proposed demolition works is approximately 0.22 hectares - comprising the existing Aikenhead Wing	



Note: PDF may contain fields not relevant to your application. These fields will appear blank or unticked. Please disregard these fields.

which currently accommodates a building footprint of approximately 0.15 hectares, as well as the adjoining buildings (Daly Wing infill building and rear of Brennan Hall). This area is shown in red hatch in Att 1-Demolition drawings.		
1.7 Proposed action location Address - 27 Victoria Parade, Fitzroy, VIC, 3065, Australia		
1.8 Primary jurisdiction		Victoria
1.9 Has the person proposing to take the action received any Australian Government grant funding to undertake this project? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No		
1.9.1 Provide detail The Australian Government has allocated \$30m to the total Aikenhead Centre for Medical Discovery (ACMD) Project		
1.10 Is the proposed action subject to local government planning approval? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No		
1.10.1 Is there a local government area and council contact for the proposal? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No		
1.10.1.0 Council contact officer details		
1.10.1.1 Name of relevant council contact officer	Principal Statutory Planner	
1.10.1.2 E-mail	info@yarracity.vic.gov.au	
1.10.1.3 Telephone Number	(03) 9205 5555	
1.11 Provide an estimated start and estimated end date for the proposed action	Start Date	01/12/2021
	End Date	30/09/2022
1.12 Provide details of the context, planning framework and state and/or local Government requirements The proposed activity is subject to the Planning and Environment Act 1987 (Vic) (P&E Act) and a permit is required under the Yarra Planning Scheme. An application has been lodged with the City of Yarra. The site is affected by planning overlays in the Yarra Planning Scheme, including the Heritage Overlay (HO334) and Schedule 2 to the Design and Development Overlay (DDO2). More detail on this statutory and policy context is provided in Att 2-Referral Report and HIS, Section 2.3, pp. 9-10.		
1.13 Describe any public consultation that has been, is being or will be undertaken, including with Indigenous stakeholders The ACMD Project has in the past consulted with the St Vincent's Hospital Melbourne Community Advisory Committee which comprises patient, carer and local Government representatives. Although community engagement was hampered by the extended COVID-19 lockdown in Victoria during 2020, the Project has planned future consultations with the Community Advisory Committee regarding internal aspects of the facility, particularly on the Ground Floor.		
1.14 Describe any environmental impact assessments that have been or will be carried out under Commonwealth, State or Territory legislation including relevant impacts of the project The proposal will be assessed under the relevant provisions of the Yarra Planning Scheme. A planning application lodged by planning consultancy Contour Town Planning in 2020 assessed the proposal against the planning scheme. This included a heritage impact statement prepared by Lovell Chen addressing the heritage issues and impacts associated with the proposal. Key documents associated with this planning application included the Aikenhead Centre for Medical Discovery Planning Application Design Report, dated 6 August 2020. The City of Yarra has not determined the application and an appeal was lodged with the Victorian Civil and Administrative Tribunal (VCAT) for Failure to Determine. The project subsequently has been 'called in' by Victorian Minister for Planning and referred to an advisory panel (scheduled for August 2021).		
1.15 Is this action part of a staged development (or a component of a larger project)? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No		
1.15.1 Provide information about the larger action and details of any interdependency between the stages/components and the larger action		



Note: PDF may contain fields not relevant to your application. These fields will appear blank or unticked. Please disregard these fields.

This action (demolition and site clearance) forms part of a larger action to redevelop the subject site (for the ACMD project) and is preparatory to the proposed new development action. The ACMD development will be a hospital based Health and Community facility comprising a Research and Development Centre, Education Centre and a food and drink premises (café). The new ACMD Building will largely adopt the existing footprint of the Aikenhead Wing, and will be 12 storeys high, rising to a total height of approximately 49.5 metres of occupiable floor space, with a parapet extension to the roof to conceal services finishing in the order of 54 metres.

In terms of interdependency, the demolition of the existing building will occur regardless of the nature of the development which may occur at the site, related to the poor condition of the existing building. The building has been assessed as suffering from a number of serious defects, some of which potentially pose a high risk to vehicular or pedestrian traffic below the building. Recent structural reports have confirmed that renovation or refurbishment are not feasible options for the existing Aikenhead Wing, and progressing immediately to demolition will ensure the best outcome for staff and community safety. The limitations of the existing building, particularly as related to floor to ceiling heights, also forms part of the consideration for the potential to adapt the existing building as these are inadequate for contemporary research/medical facilities. As such, the demolition is proposed independent of the proposed replacement development. Further information is provided in Att 2-Referral Report and HIS, Section 4.4 & 4.5, pp. 29-32.

There is a co-dependency as related to the new development action as this is contingent upon the demolition occurring. The future works will be the subject of an additional (separate) referral process under the EPBC Act.

1.16 Is the proposed action related to other actions or proposals in the region?

☐ Yes ☒ No



Note: PDF may contain fields not relevant to your application. These fields will appear blank or unticked. Please disregard these fields.

Section 2

Matters of national environmental significance

2.1 Is the proposed action likely to have any direct or indirect impact on the values of any World Heritage properties?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Property

Royal Exhibition Building and Carlton Gardens (REB and Carlton Gardens)

Impact

While there would be no direct impacts on the REB and Carlton Garden (no works are proposed within the site), there is the potential for indirect impacts from the proposed action. This potential relates to a change to the immediate setting of the REB and Carlton Gardens as a result of the demolition of the existing building within the St Vincent's Hospital complex.

The whole of the SVHM complex (including the subject site) is outside the area identified in the REB and Carlton Gardens World Heritage Environs Area Strategy Plan as the 'zone of greater sensitivity'. The Aikenhead Building to be demolished makes no contribution to the setting of the REB and Carlton Gardens, and is not a building which contributes to the nineteenth century context of the listed place. Demolition of the subject site as proposed will therefore not alter the relationship of the heritage place with the surrounding street layout and significant nineteenth century context. Once the building is demolished, the hospital campus will remain a development of contrasting form and scale.

The conclusion of this assessment is that while the demolition of the existing building will represent a change in the surrounding streetscape setting of the REB and Carlton Gardens, the change is not one which will impact on protected values or an appreciation of the REB as a palace of industry, reflecting the international exhibition movement. Details of the analysis of impacts is included at Att 2-Referral Report and HIS, Section 6.2, pp. 35-39.

This assessment relates to the demolition of the existing building. The proposed development will form a further stage of works and will be the subject of a separate assessment and referral process.

2.1.2 Do you consider this impact to be significant?

☐ Yes ☒ No

2.2 Is the proposed action likely to have any direct or indirect impact on the values of any National Heritage places?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Place

Royal Exhibition Building and Carlton Gardens (REB and Carlton Gardens)

Impact

As with the World heritage values of the place, there would be no direct impacts on the REB and Carlton Garden (no works are proposed within the site), however there is the potential for indirect impacts from the proposed action. This potential relates to a change to the immediate setting of the REB and Carlton Gardens as a result of the demolition of the existing building within the St Vincent's Hospital complex.

The proposed action will have no impact on an appreciation of the historical associations and meanings of the place as a whole or the historical status of the REB in a national context. Similarly, the proposed action does not involve works within the heritage place and will therefore have no impact on its value as a rare surviving example in Australia of a nineteenth century international exhibition building and gardens complex. As the proposed demolition works will occur outside of the REB and Carlton Gardens site, the proposed action will have no impact on the aesthetic values of the place or on the ability of the place to demonstrate the significant typological, stylistic, design, planning and layout attributes. The proposed action will also have no impact on the landmark qualities of the dome or the Carlton Gardens setting as no part of the demolition works, including the temporary scaffolding, will encroach into or obscure identified key views to the dome or the Carlton Gardens setting.

The conclusion of this assessment is that while the building represents a change in the setting of the REB, the change is not one which will impact on the values of the National Heritage place. Details of the analysis of impacts is included at Att 2-Referral Report and HIS, Section 6.2, pp. 35-39.

This assessment relates to the demolition of the existing building. The proposed development will form a further stage of works and will be the subject of a separate assessment and referral process.

2.2.2 Do you consider this impact to be significant?

☐ Yes ☒ No



Note: PDF may contain fields not relevant to your application. These fields will appear blank or unticked. Please disregard these fields.

2.3 Is the proposed action likely to have any direct or indirect impact on the ecological character of a Ramsar wetland? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
2.4 Is the proposed action likely to have any direct or indirect impact on the members of any listed species or any threatened ecological community, or their habitat? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
2.5 Is the proposed action likely to have any direct or indirect impact on the members of any listed migratory species or their habitat? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
2.6 Is the proposed action to be undertaken in a marine environment (outside Commonwealth marine areas)? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
2.7 Is the proposed action likely to be taken on or near Commonwealth land? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
2.8 Is the proposed action taking place in the Great Barrier Reef Marine Park? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
2.9 Is the proposed action likely to have any direct or indirect impact on a water resource from coal seam gas or large coal mining development? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
2.10 Is the proposed action a nuclear action? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
2.11 Is the proposed action to be taken by a Commonwealth agency? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
2.12 Is the proposed action to be undertaken in a Commonwealth Heritage place overseas? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
2.13 Is the proposed action likely to have any direct or indirect impact on any part of the environment in the Commonwealth marine area? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



Note: PDF may contain fields not relevant to your application. These fields will appear blank or unticked. Please disregard these fields.

Section 3

Description of the project area

3.1 Describe the flora and fauna relevant to the project area

The project area is within a highly developed hospital complex in metropolitan Melbourne. There is no relevant vegetation or fauna on the site.

3.2 Describe the hydrology relevant to the project area (including water flows)

The project area is within a densely developed urban environment. Water flows are managed through metropolitan drainage infrastructure.

3.3 Describe the soil and vegetation characteristics relevant to the project area

There is no relevant vegetation or fauna on the site.

3.4 Describe any outstanding natural features and/or any other important or unique values relevant to the project area

There are no significant natural features relevant to the project area.

3.5 Describe the status of native vegetation relevant to the project area

The project area forms part of a hospital complex within a densely developed urban environment. There is no relevant vegetation or fauna on the site.

3.6 Describe the gradient (or depth range if action is to be taken in a marine area) relevant to the project area

The project site is generally flat.

3.7 Describe the current condition of the environment relevant to the project area

The project area forms part of a hospital complex within a densely developed urban environment.

3.8 Describe any Commonwealth Heritage places or other places recognised as having heritage values relevant to the project

Commonwealth Heritage places:

There are no Commonwealth Heritage places within or adjacent to the project area. Within a 1 kilometre buffer zone there is one Commonwealth Heritage Place - the Commonwealth Offices Building at 4 Treasury Place, East Melbourne (Place ID: 105453). However, it is located approximately 600 metres to the south-east of the SVHM complex and would be unaffected by the proposed action.

Other places recognised as having heritage values:

The site is included in the South Fitzroy Precinct identified as HO334 in the Schedule to the Heritage Overlay (HO) Yarra Planning Scheme. The statement of significance for the South Fitzroy Precinct (HO334) includes the following points of particular relevance to the subject site (in summary):

- * The South Fitzroy Heritage Overlay Area is significant as the earliest urban area outside the Melbourne City grid to be settled in the Melbourne municipality.

- * The HO area is also significant for the major early institutions that developed on its fringes, in particular, St Vincent's Hospital and The Convent of Mercy, as closely linked with the area's history, education and welfare within the metropolitan area.

- * The South Fitzroy Precinct (HO334) is within close proximity to the Royal Exhibition Building and is adjacent to the World Heritage Environs Area precinct. The nineteenth century development and character of the South Fitzroy Precinct contributes to the broader setting and context of the Royal Exhibition Building. Views and vistas of the Royal Exhibition Building from within the South Fitzroy Precinct, including views to the dome from Gertrude Street, are also an important feature of the precinct.

Note that the subject site does not form part of the WHEA precinct.

3.9 Describe any Indigenous heritage values relevant to the project area

The area now known as Fitzroy stands on the traditional lands of the Wurundjeri Woiwurrung people. Further, the suburb of Fitzroy is of importance to Aboriginal people as many Aboriginal families moved to Fitzroy in the twentieth century. There are many places, organisations and services in Fitzroy that have historical and social importance to Aboriginal people. However, there are no known Indigenous heritage values associated with the project area and the subject site is not located within an Area of Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Sensitivity.



Note: PDF may contain fields not relevant to your application. These fields will appear blank or unticked. Please disregard these fields.

3.10 Describe the tenure of the action area (e.g. freehold, leasehold) relevant to the project area

The project area is freehold land, located over multiple property titles, all held by the same entity as Sole Proprietor (being St Vincent's Healthcare Ltd).

3.11 Describe any existing or any proposed uses relevant to the project area

The subject site forms part of the SVHM complex. SVHM is a tertiary public healthcare service which provides a range of services, including acute medical and surgical services, emergency and critical care, aged care, diagnostics, rehabilitation, allied health, mental health, palliative care and residential care.

The building to be demolished (the Aikenhead Wing) was constructed to provide on-site nurses' accommodation as part of a major development program at the hospital in the late-1950s/60. The building remained in use for nurses' accommodation until the 1990s, and was subsequently adapted for other uses, predominantly administrative and storage use. All staff and functions have been relocated from the building and as of 25 June 2021 it is closed to the public.

The proposed demolition is preparatory to the proposed ACMD development, which will be a hospital based Health and Community facility comprising a Research and Development Centre and Education Centre. The new development will form part of a separate referral process.



Note: PDF may contain fields not relevant to your application. These fields will appear blank or unticked. Please disregard these fields.

Section 4

Measures to avoid or reduce impacts

4.1 Describe the measures you will undertake to avoid or reduce impact from your proposed action

The proposed action is for the demolition of the existing building only. There are no proposed mitigation actions as the referred works are entirely located within the hospital complex site, will not result in any physical impact or change to the REB or Carlton Gardens and do not include any new development works in proximity to the World/National heritage place.

4.2 For matters protected by the EPBC Act that may be affected by the proposed action, describe the proposed environmental outcomes to be achieved

The proposed demolition represents a change to the built form in proximity to the south-east corner of the Carlton Gardens. Given this, the key environmental outcomes to be achieved are protection of the National and World Heritage values of the place. A good environmental outcome would be to preserve understanding of the historical roles of the REB and Carlton Gardens, as well as appreciation of its aesthetic and technical qualities. In this case, the proposed demolition works would have no impact on these values, and the works (including temporary scaffolding to be erected around the building as part of the demolition) would not obstruct or obscure significant view lines to the REB dome as identified in the World Heritage Environs Area Strategy Plan.



Note: PDF may contain fields not relevant to your application. These fields will appear blank or unticked. Please disregard these fields.

Section 5

Conclusion on the likelihood of significant impacts

5.1 You indicated the below ticked items to be of significant impact and therefore you consider the action to be a controlled action

- ☐ World Heritage properties
- ☐ National Heritage places
- ☐ Wetlands of international importance (declared Ramsar wetlands)
- ☐ Listed threatened species or any threatened ecological community
- ☐ Listed migratory species
- ☐ Marine environment outside Commonwealth marine areas
- ☐ Protection of the environment from actions involving Commonwealth land
- ☐ Great Barrier Reef Marine Park
- ☐ A water resource, in relation to coal seam gas development and large coal mining development
- ☐ Protection of the environment from nuclear actions
- ☐ Protection of the environment from Commonwealth actions
- ☐ Commonwealth Heritage places overseas
- ☐ Commonwealth marine areas

5.2 If no significant matters are identified, provide the key reasons why you think the proposed action is not likely to have a significant impact on a matter protected under the EPBC Act and therefore not a controlled action

No direct impacts would occur and no part of the proposal will affect the presentation or significant fabric or elements of the REB and surrounding Carlton Gardens, which demonstrate the historical association of the place with the international exhibition movement of the nineteenth and early twentieth centuries. The proposed action will have no direct impact on the fabric of the REB and Carlton Gardens and the associated integrity of the place. In considering the potential for indirect impacts, the subject site is located within the area of lesser sensitivity as defined by the WHEA Strategy Plan for the REB and Carlton Gardens, and does not form part of the nineteenth century setting of the heritage place. Demolition of the subject site as proposed will therefore not alter the relationship of the heritage place with the surrounding street layout and significant nineteenth century context.

Reasons for this assessment are outlined in Att 2-Referral Report and HIS, Section 6.2, pp. 35-39.



Note: PDF may contain fields not relevant to your application. These fields will appear blank or unticked. Please disregard these fields.

Section 6

Environmental record of the person proposing to take the action

6.1 Does the person taking the action have a satisfactory record of responsible environmental management? Explain in further detail

Yes, St Vincent's Hospital (Melbourne) Ltd has a satisfactory record of responsible environmental management. SVHM is part of St Vincent's Health Australia (SVHA). SVHA has long led the nation's health and aged care sector in reducing its reliance on fossil fuels, managing its energy use more effectively and increasing the organisation's renewable energy capacity.

St Vincent's has pursued both group-wide and locally-led initiatives to reduce its carbon footprint. One successful project has been the rolling out of Siemens' chiller plant optimisation technology, Demand Flow, which allows facilities to run their chiller plants (eg: for air conditioning) with less pumping activity, thereby reducing energy consumption. Overall, the project delivered the hospital savings of 921,959 kWh against a target of 467,872 kWh; an overall reduction of 34.1 energy consumption.

SVHM employs an Environmental Sustainability Officer to oversee various environmental policies and initiatives.

6.2 Provide details of any past or present proceedings under a Commonwealth, State or Territory law for the protection of the environment or the conservation and sustainable use of natural resources against either (a) the person proposing to take the action or, (b) if a permit has been applied for in relation to the action – the person making the application

There are no past or present proceedings against St Vincent's Hospital (Melbourne) Limited under Commonwealth, State or Territory law for the protection of the environment or the conservation and sustainable use of natural resources.

6.3 If it is a corporation undertaking the action will the action be taken in accordance with the corporation's environmental policy and framework?

☒ Yes ☐ No

6.3.1 If the person taking the action is a corporation, provide details of the corporation's environmental policy and planning framework

SVHM does not have a formal environmental policy and planning framework. Rather, SVHM have been completing a number of large scale initiatives (mostly in energy use and waste reduction), including an Environmental Sustainability Plan that it reports on annually to the Victorian Department of Health. Key initiatives reported on in 2020 include:

- * PVC recycling implemented across wards with expansion to theatres in progress.
- * Replacement of refrigerants gasses is 20% complete across the organisation, and progress will continue into 2020/21.
- * Chiller optimisation plan has been completed.
- * LED lighting and atomization program has been completed.
- * Solar panel installation on the SVHM main inpatient building has been completed

SVHM would conduct the action in a manner which befits the sensitivity of the context and complies with all relevant statutory requirements.

6.4 Has the person taking the action previously referred an action under the EPBC Act, or been responsible for undertaking an action referred under the EPBC Act?

☐ Yes ☒ No



Note: PDF may contain fields not relevant to your application. These fields will appear blank or unticked. Please disregard these fields.

Section 7
Information sources
Reference source Royal Exhibition Building & Carlton Gardens: World Heritage Management Plan incorporating community feedback' October 2013, https://web.archive.org/web/20190305050401/https://www.heritage.vic.gov.au/__data/assets/pdf_file/0021/55191/Royal-Exhibition-and-Carlton-Gardens-World-Heritage-Management-Plan-2013-pdf.pdf
Reliability Reliable
Uncertainties None
Reference source Attachment D: World Heritage Environs Area Strategy Plan: REB & CG', prepared by Lovell Chen, approved by Minister for Planning October 2009, https://www.heritage.vic.gov.au/permits-and-approvals/resources-for-consultants
Reliability Reliable
Uncertainties None
Reference source 'Royal Exhibition Buildings and Carlton Gardens' UNESCO World Heritage Centre, https://whc.unesco.org/en/list/1131/
Reliability Reliable
Uncertainties None
Reference source Significant impact guidelines 1.1: Matters of National Environmental Significance', 2013, http://www.environment.gov.au/epbc/publications/significant-impact-guidelines-11-matters-national-environmental-significance
Reliability Reliable
Uncertainties None
Reference source UNESCO, 'Operational Guidelines for the Implementation of the World Heritage Convention', Revised 2019, WHC.19/01, 10 July 2019.
Reliability Reliable
Uncertainties None



Note: PDF may contain fields not relevant to your application. These fields will appear blank or unticked. Please disregard these fields.

Reference source
Royal Exhibition Building and Carlton Gardens Review. Engage Victoria, https://web.archive.org/web/20210225060950/https://engage.vic.gov.au/rebcgreview
Reliability
Reliable
Uncertainties
None

Reference source
St Vincent's Hospital Melbourne, Our Heritage, https://www.svhm.org.au/about-us/our-heritage ,
Reliability
Reliable
Uncertainties
None

Reference source
St Vincent's Hospital Melbourne, History Timeline, https://www.svhm.org.au/about-us/our-heritage/history-timeline
Reliability
Reliable
Uncertainties
None

Reference source
St Vincent's Foundation, Remembering Aikenhead, https://www.stvfoundation.org.au/get-involved/remembering-aikenhead
Reliability
Reliable
Uncertainties
None

Reference source
Mary Sheehan, A Profession's Pathway: Nursing at St Vincent's since 1893, 2005, Australian Scholarly Publishing, Kew, Victoria.
Reliability
Reliable
Uncertainties
None

Reference source
Sands & McDougall Directories
Reliability
Reliable
Uncertainties
None



Note: PDF may contain fields not relevant to your application. These fields will appear blank or unticked. Please disregard these fields.

Reference source
The Herald, 11 July 1952.
Reliability
Reliable
Uncertainties
None

Reference source
Arup, St Vincent's Hospital Façade Condition Review of Aikenhead, Daly and Healy Buildings, 15 March 2018.
Reliability
Reliable
Uncertainties
None

Reference source
HBS Group, St Vincent's Hospital Quarter 4 Inspections 2019, Fitzroy Campus Aikenhead Wing F1
Reliability
Reliable
Uncertainties
None



Note: PDF may contain fields not relevant to your application. These fields will appear blank or unticked. Please disregard these fields.

Section 8
Proposed alternatives
Do you have any feasible alternatives to taking the proposed action? Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



Note: PDF may contain fields not relevant to your application. These fields will appear blank or unticked. Please disregard these fields.

Section 9

Person proposing the action

9.1.1 Is the person proposing the action an organisation or business?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Organisation

Organisation name (as registered for ABN/ACN) ST. VINCENT'S HOSPITAL (MELBOURNE) LIMITED

Business name

ABN

22052110755

ACN

Business address

41 Victoria St, Fitzroy, 3065, VIC, Australia

Postal address

Main Phone number

03 9231 2211

Fax

Primary email address

andrew.crettenden@svha.org.au

Secondary email address

9.1.2 I qualify for exemption from fees under Regulation 5.23(1)(ii) of the EPBC Regulations because I am:

☐ Small business
☒ Not applicable

9.1.2.2 I would like to apply for a waiver of full or partial fees under Regulation 5.21A of the EPBC Regulations

☐ Yes ☒ No

9.1.3 Contact (for an organisation - the contact details of the person authorised to sign on behalf of the organisation)

First name Andrew
Last name Crettenden
Job title ACMD Project Director

Phone
Mobile 0412 340 593
Fax
Email andrew.crettenden@svha.org.au
Primary address 41 Victoria St, Fitzroy, 3065, VIC, Australia
Address

Declaration: Person proposing the action (To be signed by the person at 9.1.3)

I, Andrew Crettenden, declare that to the best of my knowledge the information I have given on, or attached to the EPBC Act Referral is complete, current and correct. I understand that giving false or misleading information is a serious offence. I declare that I am not taking the action on behalf or for the benefit of any other person or entity.

Signature: *A Crettenden* Date: 19 July 2021.

I, Andrew Crettenden, the person proposing the action, consent to the designation of St Vincent's Hospital Melbourne as the proponent for the purposes of the action described in this EPBC Act Referral.

Signature:..... *A Crettenden*Date: 19 July 2021



Note: PDF may contain fields not relevant to your application. These fields will appear blank or unticked. Please disregard these fields.

Proposed designated proponent

9.2.1 Is the proposed designated proponent an organisation or business?

☒ Yes ☐ No

Organisation

Organisation name (as registered for ABN/ACN)	ST. VINCENT'S HOSPITAL (MELBOURNE) LIMITED
Business name	
ABN	22052110755
ACN	
Business address	41 Victoria St, Fitzroy, 3065, VIC, Australia
Postal address	
Main Phone number	03 9231 2211
Fax	
Primary email address	andrew.crettenden@svha.org.au
Secondary email address	

9.2.2 Contact (for an organisation - the contact details of the person authorised to sign on behalf of the organisation)

First name	Andrew
Last name	Crettenden
Job title	ACMD Project Director
Phone	0412 340 593
Mobile	0412 340 593
Fax	
Email	andrew.crettenden@svha.org.au
Primary address	41 Victoria St, Fitzroy, 3065, VIC, Australia
Address	

Declaration: Proposed Designated Proponent

I, Andrew Crettenden, the
proposed designated proponent, consent to the designation of
myself as the proponent for the purposes of the action described in this EPBC Act Referral.

Signature:  Date: 19 July 2021



Note: PDF may contain fields not relevant to your application. These fields will appear blank or unticked. Please disregard these fields.

Referring party (person preparing the information)**9.3.1 Is the referring party an organisation or a business?**

☒ Yes ☐ No

Organisation**Organisation name (as registered for ABN/ACN)**

LOVELL CHEN PTY. LTD.

Business name**ABN**

20005803494

ACN**Business address**176 Wellington Parade, East Melbourne, 3002, VIC,
Australia**Postal address****Main Phone number**

03 9667 0800

Fax**Primary email address**

enquiry@lovellchen.com.au

Secondary email address**9.3.2 Contact (for an organisation - the contact details of the person authorised to sign on behalf of the organisation)****First name**

Peter

Last name

Lovell

Job title

Director

Phone

03 9667 0813

Mobile**Fax****Email**

phlovell@lovellchen.com.au

Primary address176 Wellington Parade, East Melbourne, 3002, VIC,
Australia**Address****Declaration: Referring party (person preparing the information)**

I, Peter Lovell

_____, declare that
to the best of my knowledge the information I have given on, or attached to this EPBC Act Referral is complete, current and
correct. I understand that giving false or misleading information is a serious offence.

Signature:  Date: 19/07/2021



Note: PDF may contain fields not relevant to your application. These fields will appear blank or unticked. Please disregard these fields.

Appendix A	
Attachment	
Document Type	File Name
action_area_images	Att 1-Demolition drawings.pdf
supporting_tech_reports	Att 2-Referral Report and HIS.pdf

Appendix B
Coordinates
Area 1
-37.807644215968,144.97342064939
-37.807639977685,144.9734233316
-37.807377203651,144.97346624694
-37.80743230151,144.97397854886
-37.807368727053,144.97398659549
-37.807402633437,144.9743165072
-37.807438658952,144.9743165072
-37.807466207864,144.97431382499
-37.807457731277,144.97423604093
-37.80764633511,144.97420385442
-37.807618786265,144.9739892777
-37.807709909327,144.97397318444
-37.807644215968,144.97342064939