



## Title of Proposal - Adelaide Festival Plaza

# Section 1 - Summary of your proposed action

Provide a summary of your proposed action, including any consultations undertaken.

### 1.1 Project Industry Type

Commercial Development

### 1.2 Provide a detailed description of the proposed action, including all proposed activities.

Redevelopment of the Adelaide Festival Centre Plaza with a new 5 storey below ground carpark (with connection to the National Heritage Listed South Australian Parliament House), new 26 storey commercial tower, 2-3 storey retail buildings, and upgrades to surrounding public realm. Upgrades to Adelaide Festival Plaza (over proposed carpark) not part of proposed action. Proposed development is in close proximity to the following National Heritage places:

- South Australian Old and New Parliament Houses, North Terrace Adelaide SA (Place ID 105710, Place File 3/03/001/0049)
- The Adelaide Park Lands and City Layout, South Australia Adelaide SA (Place ID 105758, Place File 3/03/001/0279)

### 1.3 What is the extent and location of your proposed action? Use the polygon tool on the map below to mark the location of your proposed action.

Area	Point	Latitude	Longitude
Adelaide Festival Plaza 1		-34.921008274131	138.59837609933
Adelaide Festival Plaza 2		-34.921006074875	138.59837475822
Adelaide Festival Plaza 3		-34.921014871899	138.59778601334
Adelaide Festival Plaza 4		-34.920062588637	138.59772700474
Adelaide Festival Plaza 5		-34.920047193667	138.59834659503
Adelaide Festival Plaza 6		-34.919715101462	138.59870601103
Adelaide Festival Plaza 7		-34.919418196698	138.5986952822
Adelaide Festival Plaza 8		-34.919402801607	138.5991137068
Adelaide Festival Plaza 9		-34.920489248093	138.59912980006
Adelaide Festival Plaza 10		-34.920728968154	138.59913248227
Adelaide Festival Plaza 11		-34.920759757836	138.59836268828
Adelaide Festival Plaza 12		-34.921008274131	138.59837609933



---

**1.5 Provide a brief physical description of the property on which the proposed action will take place and the location of the proposed action (e.g. proximity to major towns, or for off-shore actions, shortest distance to mainland).**

The site is located within the City of Adelaide, to the northern side of North Terrace. The land affected by the proposed development has been alienated from the broader Park Lands since the very earliest days of colonial settlement, having been designated “Government Domain” as early as 1838 (only two years after the settlement of South Australia). This portion of the city is not included in the National Heritage listing of the Adelaide Park Land and City Layout.

The land associated with the proposed works has been extensively developed, and redeveloped since settlement and currently accommodates:

The existing Adelaide Festival Centre (AFC) carpark and festival plaza;

Festival Drive (access roadway through the AFC plaza);

Station Road (located between Old Parliament House and the Adelaide Railway Place);

The western loading area of New Parliament House;

A small portion of ‘miscellaneous’ service land.

The site opens to its eastern side to King William Road, and is buffered from the Park Lands (to the north) by the Adelaide Festival Centre. The southern boundary of the interfaces with the National Heritage listed South Australian Old and New Parliament Houses. The western boundary interfaces with the State Heritage listed Adelaide Railway Station.

**1.6 What is the size of the development footprint or work area?**

1.53 hectares

**1.7 Is the proposed action a street address or lot?**

Lot

**1.7.2 Describe the lot number and title.** Pt Lot 9 DP 46426, Pt Lot 100 DP 59055 Pt Pieces 1 and 3 DP 46426 Pt Crown Record Vol 5758 Folio 143

**1.8 Primary Jurisdiction.**

South Australia

**1.9 Has the person proposing to take the action received any Australian Government grant funding to undertake this project?**



---

No

**1.10 Is the proposed action subject to local government planning approval?**

Yes

**1.10.1 Is there a local government area and council contact for the proposal?**

Yes

**1.10.1.0 Council contact officer details**

**1.10.1.1 Name of relevant council contact officer.**

Gabrielle McMahon

**1.10.1.2 E-mail**

[gabrielle.mcmahon@sa.gov.au](mailto:gabrielle.mcmahon@sa.gov.au)

**1.10.1.3 Telephone Number**

0871097056

**1.11 Provide an estimated start and estimated end date for the proposed action.**

Start date 10/2017

End date 10/2021

**1.12 Provide details of the context, planning framework and State and/or Local government requirements.**

The site is within Policy Area 28 Entertainment of the Riverbank zone of the Adelaide (City) Development Plan.

The proposal originated from the South Australian government selecting the proponent to rebuild the car park and public realm adjoining the nationally listed South Australian Parliament House and Old Parliament House. In return the proponent was given the rights to develop above the car park. The proposal is governed by legal agreements between the proponent and the South Australian government.

The car park and associated retail and commercial tower received development plan consent on 10 November 2016 from the Inner Metropolitan Development Assessment Commission.

**1.13 Describe any public consultation that has been, is being or will be undertaken,**



---

**including with Indigenous stakeholders.**

Consultation was undertaken by the SA Department of Planning Transport and Infrastructure with all relevant government agencies including the State Heritage Unit and the Government Architect, Adelaide City Council, the Riverbank Authority and Parliament House management.

**1.14 Describe any environmental impact assessments that have been or will be carried out under Commonwealth, State or Territory legislation including relevant impacts of the project.**

A comprehensive environmental impact assessment was undertaken by the SA Department of Planning, Transport and Infrastructure. The documents submitted for this assessment are available from the SA Department of Planning, Transport and Infrastructure.

**1.15 Is this action part of a staged development (or a component of a larger project)?**

Yes

**1.15.1 Provide information about the larger action and details of any interdependency between the stages/components and the larger action.**

There are several interrelated and staged developments within the precinct that the proposed action forms part of. The person undertaking the action subject to this referral is not fully versed with the details or complexities of these projects, however they are understood to be:

The major expansion of the Adelaide Casino to the northern end of the adjacent Adelaide Railway Station (12 storey hospitality, gaming and hotel complex). This project is dependant on the carparking and public realm upgrades provided / facilitated by the proposes action subject to this referral;

Festival Drive Grade Separation Project: providing a pedestrian and vehicular separation to Festival Drive which runs through the site of the proposes action;

Festival Plaza Public Realm Upgrade: provision of public realm upgrade to the new Adelaide Festival Centre plaza (over the upper most deck of the new carpark structure provided by the proposed action subject to this referral);

Adelaide Festival Centre redevelopment (upgrade of the Adelaide Festival Centre the bounds the northern side of the site).

While these above projects do not form part of this referral, they are all interrelated and reliant on each other to progress.

**1.16 Is the proposed action related to other actions or proposals in the region?**



---

Yes

**1.16.1 Identify the nature/scope and location of the related action (Including under the relevant legislation).**

Refer to response to question 1.15.1 above.



## Section 2 - Matters of National Environmental Significance

Describe the affected area and the likely impacts of the proposal, emphasising the relevant matters protected by the EPBC Act. Refer to relevant maps as appropriate. The [interactive map tool](#) can help determine whether matters of national environmental significance or other matters protected by the EPBC Act are likely to occur in your area of interest. Consideration of likely impacts should include both direct and indirect impacts.

Your assessment of likely impacts should consider whether a bioregional plan is relevant to your proposal. The following resources can assist you in your assessment of likely impacts:

- [Profiles of relevant species/communities](#) (where available), that will assist in the identification of whether there is likely to be a significant impact on them if the proposal proceeds;
- [Significant Impact Guidelines 1.1 – Matters of National Environmental Significance](#);
- [Significant Impact Guideline 1.2 – Actions on, or impacting upon, Commonwealth land and Actions by Commonwealth Agencies](#).

**2.1 Is the proposed action likely to impact on the values of any World Heritage properties?**

No

**2.2 Is the proposed action likely to impact on the values of any National Heritage places?**

No

**2.3 Is the proposed action likely to impact on the ecological character of a Ramsar wetland?**

No

**2.4 Is the proposed action likely to impact on the members of any listed threatened species (except a conservation dependent species) or any threatened ecological community, or their habitat?**

No

**2.5 Is the proposed action likely to impact on the members of any listed migratory species, or their habitat?**

No



---

**2.6 Is the proposed action to be undertaken in a marine environment (outside Commonwealth marine areas)?**

No

**2.7 Is the proposed action likely to impact on any part of the environment in the Commonwealth land?**

No

**2.8 Is the proposed action taking place in the Great Barrier Reef Marine Park?**

No

**2.9 Will there be any impact on a water resource related to coal / gas / mining?**

No

**2.10 Is the proposed action a nuclear action?**

No

**2.11 Is the proposed action to be taken by the Commonwealth agency?**

No

**2.12 Is the proposed action to be undertaken in a Commonwealth Heritage Place Overseas?**

No

**2.13 Is the proposed action likely to impact on any part of the environment in the Commonwealth marine area?**

No



## **Section 3 - Description of the project area**

Provide a description of the project area and the affected area, including information about the following features (where relevant to the project area and/or affected area, and to the extent not otherwise addressed in Section 2).

### **3.1 Describe the flora and fauna relevant to the project area.**

Not applicable. The site is developed as a car park and plaza within the Adelaide CBD and therefore has minimal flora or fauna.

### **3.2 Describe the hydrology relevant to the project area (including water flows).**

Not applicable. The site is developed as a car park and plaza within the Adelaide CBD and all water flows are managed through the existing urban stormwater pit and pipe network.

### **3.3 Describe the soil and vegetation characteristics relevant to the project area.**

The site is developed as a car park and plaza within the Adelaide CBD and therefore soil and vegetation characteristics are not applicable.

### **3.4 Describe any outstanding natural features and/or any other important or unique values relevant to the project area.**

Not applicable. The site is developed as a car park and plaza within the Adelaide CBD and has no unique natural features.

### **3.5 Describe the status of native vegetation relevant to the project area.**

Not applicable. The site is developed as a car park and plaza within the Adelaide CBD and has no native vegetation

### **3.6 Describe the gradient (or depth range if action is to be taken in a marine area) relevant to the project area.**

Not applicable. The site is developed as a car park and plaza within the Adelaide CBD so the gradient has been artificially levelled with concrete structures.





---

### **3.7 Describe the current condition of the environment relevant to the project area.**

Not applicable. The proposed works are a redevelopment of an existing below ground car park with Public Plaza area over.

### **3.8 Describe any Commonwealth Heritage Places or other places recognised as having heritage values relevant to the project area.**

There are several State and National Heritage Places relevant to the project area, namely:

National Heritage places:

South Australian Old and New Parliament Houses, North Terrace Adelaide SA (Place ID 105710, Place File 3/03/001/0049)

The Adelaide Park Lands and City Layout, South Australia Adelaide SA (Place ID 105758, Place File 3/03/001/0279)

State Heritage places

Adelaide Railway Station, ID 10844

Adelaide Festival Centre, ID 13200

Old Parliament House, ID 10874

Parliament House, ID 10845

### **3.9 Describe any Indigenous heritage values relevant to the project area.**

A desk top archaeological review was undertaken which concluded that due to the site's history of development the site was likely to have only low to very low archaeological potential.

### **3.10 Describe the tenure of the action area (e.g. freehold, leasehold) relevant to the project area.**

The action area is owned by various Ministries within the South Australian government and the proponent will be granted leasehold title prior to commencement in accordance with the terms of the Development Agreement.

### **3.11 Describe any existing or any proposed uses relevant to the project area.**

The existing uses on the site reflect the fact that the land is owned by the South Australian



government. As a result the uses comprise rear service areas for Old and New Parliament House, a public car park, and associated access and services areas associated with the Adelaide Rail Station, the Adelaide Casino and the Adelaide Festival Centre and public realm structures associated with the Adelaide Plaza.



## Section 4 - Measures to avoid or reduce impacts

Provide a description of measures that will be implemented to avoid, reduce, manage or offset any relevant impacts of the action. Include, if appropriate, any relevant reports or technical advice relating to the feasibility and effectiveness of the proposed measures.

Examples of relevant measures to avoid or reduce impacts may include the timing of works, avoidance of important habitat, specific design measures, or adoption of specific work practices.

### 4.1 Describe the measures you will undertake to avoid or reduce impact from your proposed action.

The State statutory planning approval includes a condition that requires a Construction Environmental Management Plan (CEMP) be prepared that “outlines measures to minimise undermining of heritage structures and ground vibrations in the proximity of the heritage buildings to the satisfaction of the (State Government) Development Assessment Commission prior to Development Approval being granted.” This CEMP has been expanded by the project team to be a more encompassing Site Heritage Management Plan (SHMP), and is included in the documentation submitted in this referral.

Sections 9 and 10 of this SHMP outline in detail the following mitigation measures:

#### **Vibration Management:**

The SHMP seeks a detailed Vibration Monitoring and Management Plan to be prepared that includes:

1. Establishing benchmark target vibrations levels in the proximity of National Heritage places in accordance with the generally accepted standard for such work (DIN 4150-3:1999);
2. Seeking visual inspection and automated monitoring protocol;
3. Seeking vibration trials on key equipment;
4. Identifying type and location of vibration monitoring equipment;
5. Seeking a stop to works if maximum vibration targets are exceeded and the preparation of a Mitigation Plan that might include changes to proposed work practices to avoid damage to the National Heritage place;

#### **Ground Movement:**

The SHMP seeks to avoid potential impacts associated with ground settlement and movement



though utilising construction techniques and sequencing minimise ground impact and disturbance.

### **Groundwater Related Impacts:**

The SHMP seeks a Groundwater Monitoring and Management Plan to be prepared that will:

1. Monitor ground water levels in the proximity of the site;
2. Establish benchmark water table heights based on bore log data; and
3. Establish protocols in the event that benchmark levels are exceeded and seek appropriate mitigation measures.

### **Dilapidation Survey:**

The SHMP also outlines a detailed protocol for recording and monitoring the condition of the National Heritage places in the proximity of the works. In addition to any ongoing monitoring of the risk items identified above, detailed dilapidation inspections are sought:

1. Pre-commencement;
2. Excavation completion;
3. Post construction; and
4. Further inspections (as required)

In addition to this, the SHMP seek to further mitigate risks to the heritage places by:

1. Clearly identifying the heritage places in the proximity of the works (both National and State), summarising their respective heritage values;
2. Identifies responsibilities for the implementation and management of the SHMP;
3. Identifies key risks to the National Heritage places, and establishes monitoring, benchmarks and exceedance protocols for each.

### **4.2 For matters protected by the EPBC Act that may be affected by the proposed action, describe the proposed environmental outcomes to be achieved.**

“Environmental outcomes” affected by the proposed action are limited to potential impacts to the identified National Heritage places within the proximity of the works.



## **Section 5 – Conclusion on the likelihood of significant impacts**

A checkbox tick identifies each of the matters of National Environmental Significance you identified in section 2 of this application as likely to be a significant impact.

Review the matters you have identified below. If a matter ticked below has been incorrectly identified you will need to return to Section 2 to edit.

### **5.1.1 World Heritage Properties**

No

### **5.1.2 National Heritage Places**

No

### **5.1.3 Wetlands of International Importance (declared Ramsar Wetlands)**

No

### **5.1.4 Listed threatened species or any threatened ecological community**

No

### **5.1.5 Listed migratory species**

No

### **5.1.6 Commonwealth marine environment**

No

### **5.1.7 Protection of the environment from actions involving Commonwealth land**

No

### **5.1.8 Great Barrier Reef Marine Park**

No

### **5.1.9 A water resource, in relation to coal/gas/mining**

No



---

### **5.1.10 Protection of the environment from nuclear actions**

No

### **5.1.11 Protection of the environment from Commonwealth actions**

No

### **5.1.12 Commonwealth Heritage places overseas**

No

**5.2 If no significant matters are identified, provide the key reasons why you think the proposed action is not likely to have a significant impact on a matter protected under the EPBC Act and therefore not a controlled action.**

A National Heritage Impact Assessment for the proposed works has been prepared by DASH Architects which concludes:

#### **South Australian Old and New Parliament House**

Undertaking major construction works in close proximity to heritage places is not uncommon, with well-established construction practices / techniques available to mitigate potential impacts. These monitoring and mitigation measures are set out in detail within the SHMP.

As noted, the National Heritage values of Old and New Parliament House are associated with the Events and Processes undertaken within the walls of these buildings... Only a catastrophic failure (collapse) of a portion (or whole) of the houses of Parliament would result in a loss, degradation or diminishment of one of their National Heritage values. Given the mitigation measures in place, I consider the probability of such an occurrence to be highly improbable.

For these reasons, I do not consider there to be a likelihood of a significant impact to the National Heritage values of Old and New Parliament House arising from the proposed works.

#### **Adelaide Parklands and City Layout**

The proposed development is fully contained outside of the designated National Heritage listing, in land that has been excised from the Park Lands. Given this, potential heritage impacts are limited to visual / amenity impacts on the nearby Park Lands.

The proposed development, and most notably the new 26 level office tower, is consistent with this built form backdrop to the Park Lands within this locality, and accordingly is considered consistent with the relevant identified National Heritage values of the Adelaide Park Lands and City Grid.



## **Section 6 – Environmental record of the person proposing to take the action**

Provide details of any proceedings under Commonwealth, State or Territory law against the person proposing to take the action that pertain to the protection of the environment or the conservation and sustainable use of natural resources.

### **6.1 Does the person taking the action have a satisfactory record of responsible environmental management? Please explain in further detail.**

Yes.

Walker Group Holdings Pty Ltd and its associated entities have been developing projects in all States and Territories and in all property sectors for over 40 years with minimal impact on national heritage.

Please refer <http://www.walkercorp.com.au/> for information on current and past projects.

### **6.2 Provide details of any past or present proceedings under a Commonwealth, State or Territory law for the protection of the environment or the conservation and sustainable use of natural resources against either (a) the person proposing to take the action or, (b) if a permit has been applied for in relation to the action – the person making the application.**

Walker Group Holdings Pty Ltd has not been subject to proceedings under a Commonwealth, State or Territory Law.

A subsidiary of Walker Group Holdings, Kew Development Corporation was subject to proceedings under State law:

Kew Development Corporation Pty Ltd and Heritage Victoria:

In 2007 Kew Development Corporation (a Walker subsidiary) pleaded guilty to excavating within a Tree Preservation Zone at its Kew Cottages site in Melbourne resulting in the damage to the root of a tree. Kew Development Corporation was required to fund heritage tree protection measures in Kew Cottage's future stages. The tree was retained and is in good health today.

For transparency, Walker Corporation Pty Ltd has been subject to two proceedings under State law:

Director-General Department of Environment and Climate Change (NSW) Walker Corporation Pty Limited:



Walker was found guilty of clearing native vegetation without development consent on land at Picton Road, Wilton NSW on 14 May 2010.

Director-General Department of Environment and Climate Change (NSW) Walker Corporation Pty Limited:

Walker was found guilty of clearing native vegetation without development consent on land at Macquariedale Road, Appin NSW on 30 November 2011

### **6.3 Will the action be taken in accordance with the corporation's environmental policy and planning framework?**

Yes

#### **6.3.1 If the person taking the action is a corporation, please provide details of the corporation's environmental policy and planning framework.**

Walker Corporation is not a publicly listed company and therefore there are no statutory requirements for it to have a formal environmental policy.

However, Walker recognises the value of the natural and the built environment, and is committed to ensuring it's proposals are sustainable and have minimal impacts on the environment.

### **6.4 Has the person taking the action previously referred an action under the EPBC Act, or been responsible for undertaking an action referred under the EPBC Act?**

Yes

#### **6.4.1 EPBC Act No and/or Name of Proposal.**

On 12 February 2009, Walker lodged a Draft Integrated Impact Statement (DIIS) to the Tasmanian Government for a proposed residential and marina development in Ralphs Bay, Lauderdale. It included a EPBC Act considerations, for simultaneous assessment with the DIIS. That proposal did not proceed.

In 2009, Walker Corporation lodged an EPBC referral for Precinct 1 of the Buckland Park Residential Subdivision and Development (2009/4903). The action was determined as not requiring approval.

In 2013 Walker Corporation lodged an EPBC referral for Precinct 2 of the Buckland Park Residential Subdivision and Development (2013/6947). The action was determined as not a controlled action.





---

In 2017 Walker Corporation lodged an EPBC referral for Toondah Harbour Priority Development Area. This referral is currently under assessment.



## Section 7 – Information sources

You are required to provide the references used in preparing the referral including the reliability of the source.

### 7.1 List references used in preparing the referral (please provide the reference source reliability and any uncertainties of source).

Reference Source	Reliability	Uncertainties
<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• <a href="http://www.environment.gov.au/heritage/places/national/sa-parliament-houses">http://www.environment.gov.au/heritage/places/national/sa-parliament-houses</a></li><li>• <a href="http://www.environment.gov.au/heritage/places/national/sa-parliament-houses">http://www.environment.gov.au/heritage/places/national/sa-parliament-houses</a></li><li>• State Records of South Australia (maps)</li><li>• Jones, D 2007, Adelaide Park Lands &amp; Squares Cultural Landscape Assessment Study volumes 1-6. Report prepared for the Corporation of the City of Adelaide.</li><li>• Mapco Map and Plan Collection Online, <a href="http://mapco.net/aust.htm">http://mapco.net/aust.htm</a>, 17 March 2015</li><li>• Parliament House Conservation Management Plan, Swanbury Penglase Architects</li><li>• Old Parliament House Conservation Management Plan, Swanbury Penglase Architects</li></ul>	High	Minimal



## **Section 8 – Proposed alternatives**

You are required to complete this section if you have any feasible alternatives to taking the proposed action (including not taking the action) that were considered but not proposed.

### **8.0 Provide a description of the feasible alternative?**

Not applicable. The proposed action is necessitated by our legal obligations to the South Australian government pursuant to an existing Development Agreement.

### **8.1 Select the relevant alternatives related to your proposed action.**

#### **8.27 Do you have another alternative?**

No



## Section 9 – Contacts, signatures and declarations

Where applicable, you must provide the contact details of each of the following entities: Person Proposing the Action; Proposed Designated Proponent and; Person Preparing the Referral. You will also be required to provide signed declarations from each of the identified entities.

### 9.0 Is the person proposing to take the action an Organisation or an Individual?

Organisation

### 9.2 Organisation

#### 9.2.1 Job Title

Director

#### 9.2.2 First Name

David

#### 9.2.3 Last Name

Ryan

#### 9.2.4 E-mail

david.ryan@walkercorp.com.au

#### 9.2.5 Postal Address

Level 21

1 Farrer Place  
Sydney NSW 2000  
Australia

#### 9.2.6 ABN/ACN

ACN

007851612 - WALKER RIVERSIDE DEVELOPMENTS PTY LIMITED

#### 9.2.7 Organisation Telephone



(02) 8273 9600

### 9.2.8 Organisation E-mail

reception@walkercorp.com.au

### 9.2.9 I qualify for exemption from fees under section 520(4C)(e)(v) of the EPBC Act because I am:

Not applicable

### Small Business Declaration

I have read the Department of the Environment and Energy's guidance in the online form concerning the definition of a small a business entity and confirm that I qualify for a small business exemption.

Signature:..... Date: .....

### 9.2.9.2 I would like to apply for a waiver of full or partial fees under Schedule 1, 5.21A of the EPBC Regulations

No

### 9.2.9.3 Under sub regulation 5.21A(5), you must include information about the applicant (if not you) the grounds on which the waiver is sought and the reasons why it should be made

### Person proposing the action - Declaration

I, DAVID RYAN, declare that to the best of my knowledge the information I have given on, or attached to the EPBC Act Referral is complete, current and correct. I understand that giving false or misleading information is a serious offence. I declare that I am not taking the action on behalf of or for the benefit of any other person or entity.

Signature:..... Date: 12 MAY 2017

~~I, \_\_\_\_\_, the person proposing the action, consent to the designation of \_\_\_\_\_ as the proponent of the purposes of the action describe in this EPBC Act Referral.~~

NA.

Signature:..... Date: .....



---

### 9.3 Is the Proposed Designated Proponent an Organisation or Individual?

Organisation

#### 9.5 Organisation

##### 9.5.1 Job Title

Director

##### 9.5.2 First Name

David

##### 9.5.3 Last Name

Ryan

##### 9.5.4 E-mail

david.ryan@walkercorp.com.au

##### 9.5.5 Postal Address

Level 21

1 Farrer Place  
Sydney NSW 2000  
Australia

##### 9.5.6 ABN/ACN

ACN

007851612 - WALKER RIVERSIDE DEVELOPMENTS PTY LIMITED

##### 9.5.7 Organisation Telephone

(02) 8273 9600

##### 9.5.8 Organisation E-mail

reception@walkercorp.com.au

### Proposed designated proponent - Declaration



I, DAVID RYAN, the proposed designated proponent, consent to the designation of myself as the proponent for the purposes of the action described in this EPBC Act Referral.

Signature: [Signature] Date: 11 MAR 2017

**9.6 Is the Referring Party an Organisation or Individual?**

Organisation

**9.8 Organisation**

**9.8.1 Job Title**

Executive Planner

**9.8.2 First Name**

Gerry

**9.8.3 Last Name**

Beasley

**9.8.4 E-mail**

gerry.beasley@walkercorp.com.au

**9.8.5 Postal Address**

Level 21

1 Farrer Place  
Sydney NSW 2000  
Australia

**9.8.6 ABN/ACN**

ACN

001176263 - WALKER CORPORATION PTY LIMITED

**9.8.7 Organisation Telephone**

(02) 8273 9600





---

### 9.8.8 Organisation E-mail

gerry.beasley@walkercorp.com.au

#### Referring Party - Declaration

I, GERALD BEASLEY, I declare that to the best of my knowledge the information I have given on, or attached to this EPBC Act Referral is complete, current and correct. I understand that giving false or misleading information is a serious offence.

Signature: Gerald Beasley Date: 11 MAY 2017





---

## Appendix A - Attachments

The following attachments have been supplied with this EPBC Act Referral:

1. approved\_plans\_part1.pdf
2. approved\_plans\_part2.pdf
3. approved\_plans\_part3.pdf
4. approved\_plans\_part4.pdf
5. approved\_plans\_part5.pdf
6. approved\_plans\_part6.pdf
7. approved\_plans\_part7.pdf
8. approved\_plans\_part8.pdf
9. decision\_notification\_form.pdf
10. epbc\_final\_assessment\_report\_7\_4\_17.pdf
11. img\_0647.jpg
12. img\_0657.jpg
13. img\_0663.jpg
14. img\_0665.jpg
15. img\_0906.jpg
16. pb040012.jpg
17. pb250004.jpg
18. pb250008.jpg
19. pb250009.jpg
20. pb250020.jpg
21. pb250026.jpg
22. pb250029.jpg
23. pb250042.jpg
24. pc040002.jpg
25. pc040008.jpg
26. pc040017.jpg
27. site\_heritage\_management\_plan\_part1.pdf
28. site\_heritage\_management\_plan\_part2.pdf
29. site\_heritage\_management\_plan\_part3.pdf
30. site\_heritage\_management\_plan\_part4.pdf
31. site\_heritage\_management\_plan\_part5.pdf
32. site\_heritage\_management\_plan\_part6.pdf
33. site\_heritage\_management\_plan\_part7.pdf