



Title of Proposal - Surfers Paradise SLSC (North Branch) Public Precinct

Section 1 - Summary of your proposed action

Provide a summary of your proposed action, including any consultations undertaken.

1.1 Project Industry Type

Tourism and Recreation

1.2 Provide a detailed description of the proposed action, including all proposed activities.

The Proposed Action will consist of the construction of a surf club facility within the Project Area that will form the Surfers Paradise SLSC (North Branch).

The Surfers Paradise SLSC (North Branch) surf club facility will be operated by the Surfers Paradise SLSC and will include:

- Surf club facilities (e.g. training rooms, storage areas, gym, café, workshop).
- Museum.
- Restaurant/bars.
- Miscellaneous associated uses (e.g. lobby areas, kitchenettes, offices, toilets and change rooms etc).

The total site area for the surf club facility is 1,564 m²

The Proposed Action has been developed to respond to an identified need as a result of projected increases in population size and tourism in northern Surfers Paradise and the surrounding area over the coming years. In particular, the current Surfers Paradise SLSC facility is physically constrained and offers limited capacity to increase its membership and services to react to the increase in numbers of beach users, making Surfers Paradise the most dangerous place in Queensland for beach drownings. This is supported by the Surf Life Saving Queensland Coast Safe Report 2016, which has identified Surfers Paradise as a coastal blackspot for 2016/2017. This risk is further intensified as a result of forecast dramatic growth in resident and visitor numbers, which will place existing services and infrastructure under more pressure.

Refer to the Attached MNES Assessment report for further details in relation to the Proposed Action.

1.3 What is the extent and location of your proposed action? Use the polygon tool on the map below to mark the location of your proposed action.



Area	Point	Latitude	Longitude
Project Area (indicative 1 - refer to attached MNES Assessment report)		-27.988377686883	153.42981877154
Project Area (indicative 2 - refer to attached MNES Assessment report)		-27.988372949872	153.42996361083
Project Area (indicative 3 - refer to attached MNES Assessment report)		-27.9889011253	153.42996361083
Project Area (indicative 4 - refer to attached MNES Assessment report)		-27.988886914336	153.43008967465
Project Area (indicative 5 - refer to attached MNES Assessment report)		-27.989190081166	153.42998775071
Project Area (indicative 6 - refer to attached MNES Assessment report)		-27.990123261212	153.42997702188
Project Area (indicative 7 - refer to attached MNES Assessment report)		-27.990156419745	153.42978658504
Project Area (indicative 8 - refer to attached MNES Assessment report)		-27.989888782721	153.42975439853
Project Area (indicative 9 - refer to attached MNES Assessment report)		-27.9885079546	153.42977317399
Project Area (indicative 10 - refer to attached MNES Assessment report)		-27.988377686883	153.42981877154



1.5 Provide a brief physical description of the property on which the proposed action will take place and the location of the proposed action (e.g. proximity to major towns, or for off-shore actions, shortest distance to mainland).

The Project Area is an irregularly shaped 4,535 m² area within David Evans Reserve, which is located on the eastern side of Main Beach Parade, Surfers Paradise.

The Project Area is situated within existing parkland located immediately adjacent to the Surfers Paradise foreshore. Existing site improvements within the Project Area include operational surf life saving buildings and facilities, public toilets, existing hardstand and car parking areas, formal pedestrian footpaths, and street lighting.

1.6 What is the size of the proposed action area development footprint (or work area) including disturbance footprint and avoidance footprint (if relevant)?

0.176 hectares

1.7 Is the proposed action a street address or lot?

Lot

1.7.2 Describe the lot number and title. Lot 570 on SP287549 and Lot 571 on SP287549

1.8 Primary Jurisdiction.

Queensland

1.9 Has the person proposing to take the action received any Australian Government grant funding to undertake this project?

No

1.10 Is the proposed action subject to local government planning approval?

Yes

1.10.1 Is there a local government area and council contact for the proposal?

No

1.11 Provide an estimated start and estimated end date for the proposed action.

Start date 07/2018

End date 06/2020



1.12 Provide details of the context, planning framework and State and/or Local government requirements.

The Proposed Action will be assessed under the Queensland *Planning Act 2016* framework, which provides for a co-ordinated impact assessment that integrates both State Government and Local Government planning and assessment regulation.

Accordingly, the Proposed Action will be assessed against:

- State Government matters: the relevant and applicable State Codes and Assessment Benchmarks contained in the State Development Assessment Provisions.
- Local Government matters: the relevant and applicable Codes and Assessment Benchmarks contained in the Gold Coast City Plan.

1.13 Describe any public consultation that has been, is being or will be undertaken, including with Indigenous stakeholders.

Preliminary consultation has been held with a number of key stakeholders associated with the Proposed Action, including:

- Surfers Paradise Life Saving Club.
- Council of the City of Gold Coast.
- State Government Minister policy advisers.

Ongoing consultation will form part of the project to ensure that key stakeholders and the community are kept up to date in relation to project progress and outcomes. Additionally, public notification requirements will form part of this EPBC Act Referral process, as well as the assessment process under the Queensland *Planning Act 2016* framework.

1.14 Describe any environmental impact assessments that have been or will be carried out under Commonwealth, State or Territory legislation including relevant impacts of the project.

There are no requirements for an Environmental Impact Assessment to be carried out for the project under Commonwealth or State legislation. However, detailed technical reporting will be required to address the Gold Coast City Plan as part of any future development application lodged under the Queensland *Planning Act 2016* framework.

1.15 Is this action part of a staged development (or a component of a larger project)?

No



1.16 Is the proposed action related to other actions or proposals in the region?

Yes

1.16.1 Identify the nature/scope and location of the related action (Including under the relevant legislation).

The Council of the City of Gold Coast is currently completing a 200 m extension of the Foreshore Seawall immediately to the east of the Project Area for the purposes of long term planned foreshore protection and stabilisation.

These Council works commenced in August 2017 and will continue for approximately 3-4 more months and are part of an infrastructure upgrade program that has been planned by Council's City Infrastructure department for several years. This Foreshore Seawall extension will continue yearly in approximately 200 m extensions in a southerly direction towards Surfers Paradise.

Although these works may be considered a related action on the basis that they occur in the same location/region as the Proposed Action, the works represent an entirely separate action being undertaken by a different proponent that has occurred independently of the Proposed Action.

This is discussed in further detail in Section 2.4 of the attached MNES Assessment report.



Section 2 - Matters of National Environmental Significance

Describe the affected area and the likely impacts of the proposal, emphasising the relevant matters protected by the EPBC Act. Refer to relevant maps as appropriate. The [interactive map tool](#) can help determine whether matters of national environmental significance or other matters protected by the EPBC Act are likely to occur in your area of interest. Consideration of likely impacts should include both direct and indirect impacts.

Your assessment of likely impacts should consider whether a bioregional plan is relevant to your proposal. The following resources can assist you in your assessment of likely impacts:

- [Profiles of relevant species/communities](#) (where available), that will assist in the identification of whether there is likely to be a significant impact on them if the proposal proceeds;
- [Significant Impact Guidelines 1.1 – Matters of National Environmental Significance](#);
- [Significant Impact Guideline 1.2 – Actions on, or impacting upon, Commonwealth land and Actions by Commonwealth Agencies](#).

2.1 Is the proposed action likely to have ANY direct or indirect impact on the values of any World Heritage properties?

No

2.2 Is the proposed action likely to have ANY direct or indirect impact on the values of any National Heritage places?

No

2.3 Is the proposed action likely to have ANY direct or indirect impact on the ecological character of a Ramsar wetland?

No

2.4 Is the proposed action likely to have ANY direct or indirect impact on the members of any listed species or any threatened ecological community, or their habitat?

Yes

2.4.1 Impact table

Species	Impact
Littoral Rainforest and Vine Thickets of Eastern	172 m2 - subject to direct clearing for the



Species	Impact
Australia Threatened Ecological Community	proposed building footprint. 140 m2 - subject to temporary impacts during the construction phase.
Pteropus poliocephalus (grey-headed flying-fox)	The MNES Assessment report concluded that the project area contains potential foraging resources for this species. Given that the species is highly mobile, and capable of persisting in and exploiting disturbed environments, it is considered likely that this species may occasionally utilise the site to forage as part of a much larger home range. Potential impacts to this species include a very minor reduction in potential foraging resources, consisting of: 172 m2 - subject to direct clearing for the proposed building footprint. 140 m2 - subject to temporary impacts during the construction phase.

2.4.2 Do you consider this impact to be significant?

No

2.5 Is the proposed action likely to have ANY direct or indirect impact on the members of any listed migratory species, or their habitat?

No

2.6 Is the proposed action to be undertaken in a marine environment (outside Commonwealth marine areas)?

No

2.7 Is the proposed action to be taken on or near Commonwealth land?

No

2.8 Is the proposed action taking place in the Great Barrier Reef Marine Park?

No

2.9 Is the proposed action likely to have ANY direct or indirect impact on a water resource related to coal/gas/mining?



No

2.10 Is the proposed action a nuclear action?

No

2.11 Is the proposed action to be taken by the Commonwealth agency?

No

2.12 Is the proposed action to be undertaken in a Commonwealth Heritage Place Overseas?

No

2.13 Is the proposed action likely to have ANY direct or indirect impact on a water resource related to coal/gas/mining?

No



Section 3 - Description of the project area

Provide a description of the project area and the affected area, including information about the following features (where relevant to the project area and/or affected area, and to the extent not otherwise addressed in Section 2).

3.1 Describe the flora and fauna relevant to the project area.

A vegetation community assessment was undertaken, which identified two (2) community types: Littoral Rainforest, and Cleared and Landscaped Areas.

Littoral Rainforest

The Littoral Rainforest community occurs in two (2) disjunct patches within the Project Area - a northern patch, which is 1,416m² in size; and a southern patch, which is 842m² in size.

Based on the findings of a field assessment, consideration of the Littoral Rainforest and Vine Thickets of Eastern Australia Listing Advice, and prelodgement consultation with DoEE, it has been determined that both patches are consistent with the Littoral Rainforest and Vine Thickets of Eastern Australia Threatened Ecological Community.

Cleared and Landscaped Areas

This vegetation community consists of all parts of the Project Area that do not form the Littoral Rainforest community described above.

The Project Area is located in a highly urbanised area, and is surrounded by landscape barriers and threatening processes. Whilst the site provides foraging and habitat resources for a variety of disturbance tolerant species of common fauna, it is not expected to provide important or otherwise significant habitat for native fauna.

Vegetation communities, and site-based flora and fauna values have been described in detail in the attached MNES Assessment report.

3.2 Describe the hydrology relevant to the project area (including water flows).

The Project Area is located in a highly urbanised area, with hydrology and water flows being largely being directed by the existing Local Government stormwater infrastructure network.

3.3 Describe the soil and vegetation characteristics relevant to the project area.



According to the Atlas of Australian soils, the general soil type consists of Siliceous sands forming part of the present beach system of dunes & estuaries ranging from 10 to 60 ft above sea level & estuaries. The field assessment confirmed that the soil type within the Project Area is consistent with this description.

3.4 Describe any outstanding natural features and/or any other important or unique values relevant to the project area.

The Project Area is located along the Surfers Paradise beach front, with the Pacific Ocean located approximately 100m to the east.

3.5 Describe the status of native vegetation relevant to the project area.

Existing vegetation within the project area consists of two disjunct patches of Littoral Rainforest, with the balance of the Project Area consisting of Cleared and Landscaped Areas.

Existing native vegetation within the Project Area has been described in detail in the attached MNES Assessment report.

3.6 Describe the gradient (or depth range if action is to be taken in a marine area) relevant to the project area.

The Project Area ranges in elevation from approximately 6m AHD to 8m AHD. The majority of the Project Area is generally level at 6m AHD, with the Littoral Rainforest vegetation being located on shallow dunal rises.

3.7 Describe the current condition of the environment relevant to the project area.

The Project Area is located in a highly urbanised environment, surrounding by a variety of landscape barriers and threatening processes that are typically associated with urban areas.

Two Littoral Rainforest patches are present in the Project Area, which are very small in size, and exposed to edge effects throughout. Although a variety of weeds species are present in these patches, these are limited in abundance and generally occur only infrequently throughout the community. Transformer weed species are present, but only occur at very low densities. Both patches contain various infrastructure, including existing buildings and structures, road pavement and retaining walls.

The Cleared and Landscaped Areas vegetation community consists of maintained lawns with scattered canopy trees. The community contains various infrastructure, including existing buildings and structures, hardstand areas, pedestrian pathways and street lighting. This community is defined by anthropogenic disturbance subsequent horticultural maintenance, and bears very little resemblance to a functional native vegetation community.



Further details relating to the current condition of the environment are provided in the attached MNES Assessment report.

3.8 Describe any Commonwealth Heritage Places or other places recognised as having heritage values relevant to the project area.

n/a

3.9 Describe any Indigenous heritage values relevant to the project area.

n/a

3.10 Describe the tenure of the action area (e.g. freehold, leasehold) relevant to the project area.

Under the Queensland *Land Act 1994*, the allotments that make up the Project Area are allocated a tenure of 'Reserve' (trust land).

3.11 Describe any existing or any proposed uses relevant to the project area.

The current land use for the Project Area is public open space. With the exception of the Proposed Action, no other land uses are proposed.



Section 4 - Measures to avoid or reduce impacts

Provide a description of measures that will be implemented to avoid, reduce, manage or offset any relevant impacts of the action. Include, if appropriate, any relevant reports or technical advice relating to the feasibility and effectiveness of the proposed measures.

Examples of relevant measures to avoid or reduce impacts may include the timing of works, avoidance of important habitat, specific design measures, or adoption of specific work practices.

4.1 Describe the measures you will undertake to avoid or reduce impact from your proposed action.

The Proposed Action has been sensitively designed to respond to the existing location and extent of Littoral Rainforest vegetation within the Project Area. In particular, a building footprint has been designed that maximises the use of existing cleared and disturbed areas, which largely avoids impacts to the Littoral Rainforest vegetation. The design phase for the Proposed Action has needed to consider a variety of criteria to ensure that the Surf Life Saving Club is both operationally fit for purpose, as well as compliant with applicable and relevant building codes and planning regulation. Additionally, the small size of the Project Area, and the even smaller extent that is unconstrained by the Littoral Rainforest TEC have meant that the proposed building footprint must be located immediately adjacent to the TEC along the majority of its extent.

The proximity of the building footprint to the TEC has been considered within the context of potential indirect impacts to the Littoral Rainforest community, such as changes to site hydrology or growing conditions for the vegetation. An assessment of the likelihood of impacts has determined that the close proximity of the building to vegetation will not appreciably impact the condition or viability of the TEC because:

- The dominant species in the TEC within the Project Area is *Cupaniopsis anacardioides* (tuckeroo). This species is a common horticultural species that is widely available. It is frequently used in streetscape and landscape settings because of the species' high tolerance to sub-optimal/disturbed growing conditions (both below and above ground). This tolerance means that it is able to achieve viable growth and development when surrounded by constructed infrastructure.
- The existing patch remains viable despite the following factors:
 - * Within a landscape context, the patch is isolated and persists within a highly-urbanised environment (i.e. Surfers Paradise).
 - * Two buildings have previously been constructed both within and immediately adjacent to the TEC within the Project Area.



- * Road pavement and kerb and are located within the TEC along its entire western extent .
- * The northern and southern patches are bordered to the west by (and partially contain) a highly-trafficked road.
- * The overall extent of the TEC within and adjacent to the Project Area is fragmented (at a micro-scale) by an existing clearing and constructed infrastructure.

The above factors suggest that the patch demonstrates a high level of tolerance to impacts generated by the construction and operation of adjacent buildings and infrastructure. Despite the presence of these factors within and surrounding the community, the vegetation remains a viable patch of the Littoral Rainforest TEC.

The design phase for the Proposed Action has considered in detail factors that include: the Surf Life Saving Club operational needs and requirements; applicable building codes and planning regulation; as well as the irregular shape and limited size of unconstrained parts of the Project Area. When considered within the context of these factors, the Proposed Action has avoided impacts to MNES to the greatest possible extent.

The Proposed Action also incorporates detailed construction management strategies and actions - based on current best practice - to ensure that potential impacts are reduced to the greatest possible extent.

The design and management measures that have been, and will be undertaken to avoid and minimise impacts associated with the Proposed Action are discussed in detail in the attached MNES Assessment report.

4.2 For matters protected by the EPBC Act that may be affected by the proposed action, describe the proposed environmental outcomes to be achieved.

A range of mitigation measures have been identified with to ensure that the Proposed Action achieves tangible improvements in the overall extent, condition, integrity and ongoing viability of the Littoral Rainforest TEC within the Project Area. These include:

- Seed collection from existing Littoral Rainforest and subsequent propagation of 1,500 seedlings to provide for rehabilitation of Littoral Rainforest vegetation within the Project Area and broader surrounding locality. **This action will preserve the genetic integrity and provenance of the Project Area's Littoral Rainforest community.**
- Revegetation of approximately 391 m² will occur within and adjacent to the existing Littoral Rainforest patches within the Project Area, using species that are reflective of the Littoral Rainforest community (including seedlings propagated from on-site seed collection). This revegetation will involve infill planting, replanting (where necessary) of the temporary construction zone, and the expansion of the southern Littoral Rainforest patch by approximately 295m². **This action will mitigate impacts to the Littoral Rainforest community within the Project Area and enhance the viability of this community through improving ecological**



condition within existing vegetated areas and expansion of the southern patch.

- The entire perimeter of retained and rehabilitated Littoral Rainforest patches within the Project Area will be fenced. **This action will ensure that access to the patches by the general public is restricted, which will enhance the viability of the patches by preventing damage to Littoral Rainforest vegetation (e.g. from trampling, soil compaction, litter etc).**
- Interpretive signage will be installed at the northern and southern extents of the community on site for environmental education about the ecological significance of the Littoral Rainforest within the Project Area. **This action will improve public awareness and engender a sense of stewardship within the local community.**
- Ecological restoration will be undertaken throughout the Littoral Rainforest patches within the Project Area for a period of (five) 5 years. This will primarily consist of weed management to reduce and control existing weed infestations, but will also include supplementary planting where required to improve the performance of revegetation areas. **This action will reduce threats associated with weed invasion and improve the overall ecological condition and integrity of the Littoral Rainforest patches.**
- Ecological monitoring will be undertaken for a minimum period of (five) 5 years to assess and measure the condition of the patches. **This action will assist to ensure the ongoing viability of the Littoral Rainforest vegetation within the Project Area.**
- The Surfers Paradise Surf Life Saving Club will seek to enter into a long-term partnership with the Council of the City of Gold Coast to share responsibilities for the management and maintenance of the patches. **This action will ensure an improvement in the long-term ecological condition, viability and integrity of the Littoral Rainforest patches in the Project Area.**

Further details of the proposed environmental outcomes to be achieved for matters protected by the EPBC Act are provided in the attached MNES Assessment report.



Section 5 – Conclusion on the likelihood of significant impacts

A checkbox tick identifies each of the matters of National Environmental Significance you identified in section 2 of this application as likely to be a significant impact.

Review the matters you have identified below. If a matter ticked below has been incorrectly identified you will need to return to Section 2 to edit.

5.1.1 World Heritage Properties

No

5.1.2 National Heritage Places

No

5.1.3 Wetlands of International Importance (declared Ramsar Wetlands)

No

5.1.4 Listed threatened species or any threatened ecological community

No

5.1.5 Listed migratory species

No

5.1.6 Commonwealth marine environment

No

5.1.7 Protection of the environment from actions involving Commonwealth land

No

5.1.8 Great Barrier Reef Marine Park

No

5.1.9 A water resource, in relation to coal/gas/mining

No



5.1.10 Protection of the environment from nuclear actions

No

5.1.11 Protection of the environment from Commonwealth actions

No

5.1.12 Commonwealth Heritage places overseas

No

5.2 If no significant matters are identified, provide the key reasons why you think the proposed action is not likely to have a significant impact on a matter protected under the EPBC Act and therefore not a controlled action.

The Proposed Action has been identified as having the potential to impact on the following MNES:

- *Pteropus poliocephalus* (grey-headed flying-fox); and
- Littoral Rainforest and Vine Thickets of Eastern Australia Threatened Ecological Community

***Pteropus poliocephalus* (grey-headed flying-fox)**

An assessment of the site's existing values, threats and landscape context has determined that the Project Area does not contain important habitat for *Pteropus poliocephalus* (grey-headed flying-fox). Consequently, it has been concluded that the Proposed Action will not have a significant impact on this species.

Littoral Rainforest and Vine Thickets of Eastern Australia Threatened Ecological Community

Potential impacts of the Proposed Action on this Threatened Ecological Community have been assessed against the applicable criteria outlined in the Department's Significant Impact Guidelines (Version 1.1). This assessment concluded that the Proposed Action is unlikely to have a significant impact on this MNES. Further details in relation to the significant impact assessment undertaken for the Proposed Action are provided in the attached MNES Assessment report.



Section 6 – Environmental record of the person proposing to take the action

Provide details of any proceedings under Commonwealth, State or Territory law against the person proposing to take the action that pertain to the protection of the environment or the conservation and sustainable use of natural resources.

6.1 Does the person taking the action have a satisfactory record of responsible environmental management? Please explain in further detail.

Yes.

The Surfers Paradise Surf Life Saving Club is a community based organisation that has been operating for over 92 years. During this time, the Club has not committed any offences in relation to environmental management.

6.2 Provide details of any past or present proceedings under a Commonwealth, State or Territory law for the protection of the environment or the conservation and sustainable use of natural resources against either (a) the person proposing to take the action or, (b) if a permit has been applied for in relation to the action – the person making the application.

n/a

6.3 If it is a corporation undertaking the action will the action be taken in accordance with the corporation's environmental policy and framework?

No

6.4 Has the person taking the action previously referred an action under the EPBC Act, or been responsible for undertaking an action referred under the EPBC Act?

No



Section 7 – Information sources

You are required to provide the references used in preparing the referral including the reliability of the source.

7.1 List references used in preparing the referral (please provide the reference source reliability and any uncertainties of source).

Reference Source	Reliability	Uncertainties
Please refer to the Attached MNES Assessment report.	All references are based on published literature and are reliable.	There are no known uncertainties in the literature cited.



Section 8 – Proposed alternatives

You are required to complete this section if you have any feasible alternatives to taking the proposed action (including not taking the action) that were considered but not proposed.

8.0 Provide a description of the feasible alternative?

In developing the design for the Proposed Action, alternatives were considered that would avoid potential impacts on MNES; however, none of the alternatives explored were deemed to be viable.

The Proposed Action has been initiated in response to an identified need for public safety, with Surfers Paradise having been previously identified by Surf Life Saving Queensland as a coastal blackspot for 2016/2017. The identified safety risk will continue to intensify over time with population growth in the Surfers Paradise locality. There are no feasible alternatives to the project in terms of timing or activities.

Potential alternative locations were considered for the Proposed Action. However, these were ultimately determined to be unsuitable based on the operational needs of the Surf Life Saving Club.

Further details in relation to alternatives that were considered for the Proposed Action are outlined in the attached MNES Assessment report.

8.1 Select the relevant alternatives related to your proposed action.

8.27 Do you have another alternative?

No



Section 9 – Contacts, signatures and declarations

Where applicable, you must provide the contact details of each of the following entities: Person Proposing the Action; Proposed Designated Proponent and; Person Preparing the Referral. You will also be required to provide signed declarations from each of the identified entities.

9.0 Is the person proposing to take the action an Organisation or an Individual?

Organisation

9.2 Organisation

9.2.1 Job Title

President

9.2.2 First Name

Trevor

9.2.3 Last Name

Hendy

9.2.4 E-mail

president@surfersparadiseslsc.com.au

9.2.5 Postal Address

2A Cavill Avenue
Surfers Paradise QLD 4217
Australia

9.2.6 ABN/ACN

ABN

83390315675 - SURFERS PARADISE SURF LIFE SAVING CLUB INC

9.2.7 Organisation Telephone

07 5531 5966



9.2.8 Organisation E-mail

admin@surfersparadiseslsc.com.au

9.2.9 I qualify for exemption from fees under section 520(4C)(e)(v) of the EPBC Act because I am:

Not applicable

Small Business Declaration

I have read the Department of the Environment and Energy's guidance in the online form concerning the definition of a small a business entity and confirm that I qualify for a small business exemption.

Signature:..... Date:

9.2.9.2 I would like to apply for a waiver of full or partial fees under Schedule 1, 5.21A of the EPBC Regulations

No

9.2.9.3 Under sub regulation 5.21A(5), you must include information about the applicant (if not you) the grounds on which the waiver is sought and the reasons why it should be made

Person proposing the action - Declaration

I, TREVOR HENDY, declare that to the best of my knowledge the information I have given on, or attached to the EPBC Act Referral is complete, current and correct. I understand that giving false or misleading information is a serious offence. I declare that I am not taking the action on behalf of or for the benefit of any other person or entity.

Signature: T. Hendy Date: 25/9/17

I, TREVOR HENDY, the person proposing the action, consent to the designation of SURFERS PARADISE SURFLIFE SAVING CLUB as the proponent of the purposes of the action describe in this EPBC Act Referral.

Signature: T. Hendy Date: 25/9/17

9.3 Is the Proposed Designated Proponent an Organisation or Individual?



Organisation

9.5 Organisation

9.5.1 Job Title

President

9.5.2 First Name

Trevor

9.5.3 Last Name

Hendy

9.5.4 E-mail

president@surfersparadiseslsc.com.au

9.5.5 Postal Address

2A Cavill Avenue
Surfers Paradise QLD 4217
Australia

9.5.6 ABN/ACN

ABN

83390315675 - SURFERS PARADISE SURF LIFE SAVING CLUB INC

9.5.7 Organisation Telephone

07 5531 5966

9.5.8 Organisation E-mail

admin@surfersparadiseslsc.com.au

Proposed designated proponent - Declaration

I, TREVOR HENDY, the proposed designated proponent, consent to the designation of myself as the proponent for the purposes of the action described in this EPBC Act Referral.



Australian Government
Department of the Environment and Energy

EPBC Act referral - Surfers Paradise SLSC (North Branch)
Public Precinct

Signature:..... *Samantha Ward* Date: *25/9/17*

9.6 Is the Referring Party an Organisation or Individual?

Organisation

9.8 Organisation

9.8.1 Job Title

Principal

9.8.2 First Name

Samantha

9.8.3 Last Name

Ward

9.8.4 E-mail

samantha.ward@elementecology.com.au

9.8.5 Postal Address

PO Box 8419
Gold Coast Mail Centre QLD 9726
Australia

9.8.6 ABN/ACN

ACN

168984094 - ELEMENT ECOLOGY PTY. LTD.

9.8.7 Organisation Telephone

07 5655 5350

9.8.8 Organisation E-mail

admin@elementecology.com.au

Referring Party - Declaration




Australian Government

Department of the Environment and Energy

EPBC Act referral - Surfers Paradise SLSC (North Branch)
Public Precinct

I, Samantha Ward, I declare that to the best of my knowledge the information I have given on, or attached to this EPBC Act Referral is complete, current and correct. I understand that giving false or misleading information is a serious offence.

Signature:  Date: 25.09.17



Appendix A - Attachments

The following attachments have been supplied with this EPBC Act Referral:

1. shp_for_epbc_referral.zip
2. surfers_paradise_slsc_north_branch_precinct_mnes_assessment.pdf