

**Title of Proposal** - Provision of overhead cover for heritage listed vehicles at Lancer Barracks, Parramatta

## Section 1 - Summary of your proposed action

Provide a summary of your proposed action, including any consultations undertaken.

### 1.1 Project Industry Type

Tourism and Recreation

## 1.2 Provide a detailed description of the proposed action, including all proposed activities.

The Museum is the Museum of the 1st/15th Royal NSW Lancers, one of Australia's oldest and its most decorated Regiment. The Museum is located with the Regiment in Commonwealth Heritage listed Lancer Barracks, mainland Australia's oldest military barracks which has been home to the Regiment for over 120 years. The Museum collection is State heritage listed in its entirety. The collection includes a fleet of historic military vehicles made up of vehicle types used by the Regiment during its history, and therefore critically helping to illustrate the Regiment's history and tell its stories. The vehicle fleet includes the last remaining Daimler Dingo Scout Car left in Australia, a Covenanter Bridge Layer Tank, one of only three or four left in the world which we think might have fought at Balikpapan in 1945, Australia's largest ever armoured assault. The jewel in the crown of the fleet is a fully restored and mobile WW2 Matilda Tank named ACE, the first tank off the landing craft during the Balikpapan campaign, mounted by the Lancers, then called the 1st Armoured Regiment (AIF)(RNSWL). This tank has national heritage significance and is the only one of three surviving Balikpapan Matildas left in the world today capable of restoration back to full mobility and its original WW2 condition. The restoration, undertaken by Lancer Museum volunteers, has taken 6 years, \$100K and almost 40K volunteer hours to complete. It has been awarded a coveted National Trust conservation award and the restoration described as globally unique and world leading work by the Director of the UK's Bovington Tank Museum, arguably the finest tank Museum in the world. ACE is the only British or Commonwealth tank which saw action in any theatre of the war to be fully restored and returned to its WW2 fighting unit. It therefore arguably also has international heritage significance.

The Museum is one of the last remaining official military museums dedicated to keeping its historic vehicles restored and fully mobile. At present, the entire fleet is kept in the open, covered by tarpaulins, a very poor method of trying to protect and preserve any vehicle or metal based equipment. The Museum is open to the public and its vehicles, including ACE, are available and in demand to participate in community events throughout the Sydney area. However the need to "untarp" the vehicles for public inspection is very time consuming, tiring and counterproductive for vehicle preservation.

Our project is to erect overhead cover for the entire vehicle fleet. The design and materials used will be similar to modern carports, with solid end panels to each structure, but with clear plastic curtains, similar to the drop down weather shields extensively used today in restaurants with outdoor dining areas. The siting and colour of the metal components (green) is designed to



minimise the visual impact on important site lines within Lancer Barracks; the structures will be gabled with a roof pitch of 22 1/2 degrees, to harmonise with the gabled roof design of the heritage precinct and the original 1820 buildings. The height of the structures will be approximately one story equivalent, with no shadowing onto any part of the heritage precinct. For traffic safety reasons, the Army will shortly change the main entrance into Lancer Barracks from the Western side (off Smith Street) across the central parade ground to the Eastern side, off Little Street. As a result, the prosed site of the museum vehicle overhead cover will no longer sit at the end of the key site line on entering the Barracks, significantly reducing any possible visual impact. The Barracks Heritage Management Plan divides the precinct into a "Heritage precinct" and a "Limited heritage precinct" available for development (Figure 5, Page 44). On Page 33, Section B - Planning states that a suitable use for the entire precinct would be "social, welfare and/or educational. We believe that the museum and the proposed vehicle cover fit this category of use, while the vehicle cover would be entirely within the limited heritage precinct and would have zero impact on the fabric of any existing building, including the buildings with the highest heritage value.

There will only be two steps in the erection process:

- Extension of the existing vehicle concrete hard standing, from the edge of the limited heritage precinct adjacent to the existing museum Annexe Building, to the gum trees in the North East corner of the grass parade ground, and then from the edge of the concrete hard standing in front of the Little Street entrance to the Barracks Southwards to the edge of the existing Cadet Unit demountable building
- Erection of the structures on the extended hard standing.

  In summary, the Museum Committee believes the erection of the proposed vehicle cover will very significantly improve the preservation conditions for the heritage vehicle fleet and make it more easily accessible for the public to view. The choice of materials to be used, the design and colour of the cover and its position will mean that there is no impact on the fabric of any existing building and very limited impact on any key site line within the precinct.

## 1.3 What is the extent and location of your proposed action? Use the polygon tool on the map below to mark the location of your proposed action.

Area	Point	Latitude	Longitude
Part of the North and East boundaries of the limited heritage precinct	1	-33.816852963574	151.00697030126
Part of the North and East boundaries of the limited heritage precinct	_	-33.816901989131	151.00724120437
•	3	-33.817111461649	151.00731630622



-/			
Area precinct	Point	Latitude	Longitude
Part of the North and East boundaries of the limited heritage precinct	4	-33.81709586265	151.00730557738
Part of the North and East boundaries of the limited heritage precinct	5 <del>?</del>	-33.817091405792	151.00727339088
Part of the North and East boundaries of the limited heritage precinct	6 e	-33.817109233221	151.00731630622
Part of the North and East boundaries of the limited heritage precinct	7 e	-33.816852963574	151.00697030126

# 1.5 Provide a brief physical description of the property on which the proposed action will take place and the location of the proposed action (e.g. proximity to major towns, or for off-shore actions, shortest distance to mainland).

Lancer Barracks is located in the center of the City of Parramatta, to the East of the railway station and bus interchange and adjacent to the soon to be demolished Arthur Phillip High School. It is an operational Army Barracks, home to the Royal NSW Lancers for over 120 years. It was built by Lieutenant John Watts, ADC to Governor Macquarie and a well known colonial archtect, in 1820. The three remaining Macquarie era buildings are a double story Barrack building, the single story building with verandah known as Bob's Hall and, behind the Barrack building, the kitchen now used as the Regimental Sergeants' Mess. Later additions include a Federation Drill Hall (1901), the last one of its type still being used for military training, a small cottage and a double story, brown brick building, both of which date from Federation, were originally used as married quarters and now function as the Officer Mess and Regimental HQ offices respectively. On the Southern edge of the Barracks is now located Linden House, built in 1828 by the wife of Governor Dowling as the first school of industry for disadvantaged women of the colony of NSW. This building was purchased by the Museum, moved and rebuilt brick by brick and opened in 1967 to house the Regimental museum collection. It was consecrated as a War Memorial in the 1980's. These buildings edge the current entry into the Barracks from Smith Street, and also frame the grass parade ground.

#### 1.6 What is the size of the proposed action area development footprint (or work area)

#### including disturbance footprint and avoidance footprint (if relevant)?

Approx 332 square metres

1.7 Is the proposed action a street address or lot?

Street Address

**Lancer Barracks** 

2 Smith Street
Parramatta NSW 2150
Australia

1.8 Primary Jurisdiction.

**National** 

1.9 Has the person proposing to take the action received any Australian Government grant funding to undertake this project?

No

1.10 Is the proposed action subject to local government planning approval?

No

1.11 Provide an estimated start and estimated end date for the proposed action.

Start date 10/2018

End date 02/2019

1.12 Provide details of the context, planning framework and State and/or Local government requirements.

Lancer Barracks is Commonwealth (Army) property. The Regiment/Brigade have given military approval. The only other approval required is Commonwealth Heritage, which is the purpose of this referral

1.13 Describe any public consultation that has been, is being or will be undertaken, including with Indigenous stakeholders.

Not Applicable



1.14 Describe any environmental impact assessments that have been or will be carried out under Commonwealth, State or Territory legislation including relevant impacts of the project.

Given the nature of the work and the fact that it will be reversible, we do not believe that an environmental impact staement is required.

1.15 Is this action part of a staged development (or a component of a larger project)?

No

1.16 Is the proposed action related to other actions or proposals in the region?

## **Section 2 - Matters of National Environmental Significance**

Describe the affected area and the likely impacts of the proposal, emphasising the relevant matters protected by the EPBC Act. Refer to relevant maps as appropriate. The <u>interactive map tool</u> can help determine whether matters of national environmental significance or other matters protected by the EPBC Act are likely to occur in your area of interest. Consideration of likely impacts should include both direct and indirect impacts.

Your assessment of likely impacts should consider whether a bioregional plan is relevant to your proposal. The following resources can assist you in your assessment of likely impacts:

- <u>Profiles of relevant species/communities</u> (where available), that will assist in the identification of whether there is likely to be a significant impact on them if the proposal proceeds;
- Significant Impact Guidelines 1.1 Matters of National Environmental Significance;
- <u>Significant Impact Guideline 1.2 Actions on, or impacting upon, Commonwealth land and Actions by Commonwealth Agencies.</u>
- 2.1 Is the proposed action likely to have ANY direct or indirect impact on the values of any World Heritage properties?

No

2.2 Is the proposed action likely to have ANY direct or indirect impact on the values of any National Heritage places?

Yes

### 2.2.1 Impact table

Place	Impact
Lancer Barracks, 2 Smith Street, Parramatta	Minimal impact on site lines within the Heritage
NSW 2150	precinct

#### 2.2.2 Do you consider this impact to be significant?

No

2.3 Is the proposed action likely to have ANY direct or indirect impact on the ecological

#### character of a Ramsar wetland?

No

2.4 Is the proposed action likely to have ANY direct or indirect impact on the members of any listed species or any threatened ecological community, or their habitat?

No

2.5 Is the proposed action likely to have ANY direct or indirect impact on the members of any listed migratory species, or their habitat?

No

2.6 Is the proposed action to be undertaken in a marine environment (outside Commonwealth marine areas)?

No

2.7 Is the proposed action to be taken on or near Commonwealth land?

Yes

2.7.1 Is the proposed action likely to have ANY direct or indirect impact on the Commonwealth land?

No

2.7.2 Describe the nature and extent of the likely impact on the whole of the environment.

Minimal impact on site lines in heritage listed Lancer Barracks. No impact on fabric of heritage buildings within the Barracks. Vehicle protection structures contained within the limited heritage precinct of the Barracks

2.7.3 Do you consider this impact to be significant?

No

2.8 Is the proposed action taking place in the Great Barrier Reef Marine Park?

No

2.9 Is the proposed action likely to have ANY direct or indirect impact on a water resource related to coal/gas/mining?

No

2.11 Is the proposed action to be taken by the Commonwealth agency?

No

2.12 Is the proposed action to be undertaken in a Commonwealth Heritage Place Overseas?

No

2.13 Is the proposed action likely to have ANY direct or indirect impact on any part of the environment in the Commonwealth marine area?

### Section 3 - Description of the project area

Provide a description of the project area and the affected area, including information about the following features (where relevant to the project area and/or affected area, and to the extent not otherwise addressed in Section 2).

3.1 Describe the flora and fauna relevant to the project area.

One stray cat has been known to wander the Barracks. Otherwise there are landscape plantings of trees and bushes, and a grass parade ground, none of which will be affected.

3.2 Describe the hydrology relevant to the project area (including water flows).

This is an urban area. The only water flows are in drains

3.3 Describe the soil and vegetation characteristics relevant to the project area.

This is within a developed urban area

3.4 Describe any outstanding natural features and/or any other important or unique values relevant to the project area.

Other than the heritage value of the site, nothing of note

3.5 Describe the status of native vegetation relevant to the project area.

None - only landscape plantings and grass parade ground

3.6 Describe the gradient (or depth range if action is to be taken in a marine area) relevant to the project area.

The area is flat

3.7 Describe the current condition of the environment relevant to the project area.

This is an operating Army Barracks, well maintained, within a large and rapidly growing City

## 3.8 Describe any Commonwealth Heritage Places or other places recognised as having heritage values relevant to the project area.

The entire Barracks precinct, otherwise nothing. The immediate surroundings are subject to continuing urban renewal and development, arguably over-development

3.9 Describe any Indigenous heritage values relevant to the project area.

None

3.10 Describe the tenure of the action area (e.g. freehold, leasehold) relevant to the project area.

Commonwealth land operated by the Australian Army

3.11 Describe any existing or any proposed uses relevant to the project area.

Military training, educational cultural and tourism (the Museum)

### Section 4 - Measures to avoid or reduce impacts

Provide a description of measures that will be implemented to avoid, reduce, manage or offset any relevant impacts of the action. Include, if appropriate, any relevant reports or technical advice relating to the feasibility and effectiveness of the proposed measures.

Examples of relevant measures to avoid or reduce impacts may include the timing of works, avoidance of important habitat, specific design measures, or adoption of specific work practices.

## 4.1 Describe the measures you will undertake to avoid or reduce impact from your proposed action.

The design, colouring and siting of the proposed structures are all designed to minimise their impact. I have computer generated images of the proposed structures, but this online form does not allow me to upload them. I will send them by email with a reference to this referral and hope that they will marry up!

## 4.2 For matters protected by the EPBC Act that may be affected by the proposed action, describe the proposed environmental outcomes to be achieved.

Much enhanced protection and preservation of the Museum's Heritage listed vehicle fleet, in particular the internationally acclaimed WW2 Matilda Tank named ACE which has national and possibly international heritage significance. The heritage value of Lancer Barracks will have minor if any impact. The Significance assessment of the Museum's collection carried out by Tierney and Mason in 2010, stated that the Barracks was given added significance by the presence of the Museum and its collection, and that the Museum was given added significance by its location within Lancer Barracks. The preservation of the Museum's heritage fleet of vehicles will enhance the significance of the Barracks.

## Section 5 - Conclusion on the likelihood of significant impacts

A checkbox tick identifies each of the matters of National Environmental Significance you

identified in section 2 of this application as likely to be a significant impact.
Review the matters you have identified below. If a matter ticked below has been incorreidentified you will need to return to Section 2 to edit.
5.1.1 World Heritage Properties
No
5.1.2 National Heritage Places
No
5.1.3 Wetlands of International Importance (declared Ramsar Wetlands)
No
5.1.4 Listed threatened species or any threatened ecological community
No
5.1.5 Listed migratory species
No
5.1.6 Commonwealth marine environment
No
5.1.7 Protection of the environment from actions involving Commonwealth land
No
5.1.8 Great Barrier Reef Marine Park
No

5.1.9 A water resource, in relation to coal/gas/mining

#### 5.1.10 Protection of the environment from nuclear actions

No

5.1.11 Protection of the environment from Commonwealth actions

No

5.1.12 Commonwealth Heritage places overseas

No

5.2 If no significant matters are identified, provide the key reasons why you think the proposed action is not likely to have a significant impact on a matter protected under the EPBC Act and therefore not a controlled action.

In section 2 of this form a significant impact was checked against "National Heriateg Places" and the remaining parts of section 2 completed. For some reason it has not carried through to this page. I assume there is a software glitch!

## Section 6 – Environmental record of the person proposing to take the action

Provide details of any proceedings under Commonwealth, State or Territory law against the person proposing to take the action that pertain to the protection of the environment or the conservation and sustainable use of natural resources.

6.1 Does the person taking the action have a satisfactory record of responsible environmental management? Please explain in further detail.

The Museum doesn't and never has had an impact on the environment. Although not an environmental matter, the Museum saved Linden House from demolition by purchasing the building from the AMP Society which was developing the site on which it had stood since 1828, removing and re-building it brick by brick to house the Museum's heritage listed collection. Linden House and its collection have since been consecrated as a War Memorial.

6.2 Provide details of any past or present proceedings under a Commonwealth, State or Territory law for the protection of the environment or the conservation and sustainable use of natural resources against either (a) the person proposing to take the action or, (b) if a permit has been applied for in relation to the action – the person making the application.

N/A

6.3 If it is a corporation undertaking the action will the action be taken in accordance with the corporation's environmental policy and framework?

Yes

6.3.1 If the person taking the action is a corporation, please provide details of the corporation's environmental policy and planning framework.

The Museum does not have an environmental policy. It has collection and preservation policies which are more relevant to a Museum such as ours and can be made available if necessary. The very purpose of the Museum is to collect and preserve artefacts and stories concerning the continuing history of one of Australia's oldest and its most decorated Regiment. The very fact that the Museum collection has been State Heritage listed in its entirety is testimony to its success in those objectives.

6.4 Has the person taking the action previously referred an action under the EPBC Act, or been responsible for undertaking an action referred under the EPBC Act?



## Section 7 - Information sources

You are required to provide the references used in preparing the referral including the reliability of the source.

## 7.1 List references used in preparing the referral (please provide the reference source reliability and any uncertainties of source).

Reference Source	Reliability	Uncertainties
- Draft Heritage Management	Excellent Excellent	The only copy the Museum has
Plan for Lancer Barracks Marc	h	is a draft. However our
1992 - Significance		understanding is that there
Assessment of the museum		were no significant changes to
collection by Tierney and		the final document None
Mason dated 2009		

## Section 8 – Proposed alternatives

You are required to complete this section if you have any feasible alternatives to taking the proposed action (including not taking the action) that were considered but not proposed.

#### 8.0 Provide a description of the feasible alternative?

Various other designs and quotes were considered, but the one whose computer generated images will be emailed seperately was concidered the least obtrusive. The only alternative to providing suitable overhead cover for the vehicles is to continue as present, with the vehicles under tarpaulins in the open (the heritage significant Covenanter Bridge Layer Tank is too big and complex to be covered by a tarpaulin and is deteriorating quickly), which is undesirable from conservation, public viewing, and resources to maintain (effort and time to tarp and untarp; tarpaulins deteriorate quickly and must be replaced regularly). Vehicles kept under a range of different tarpaulins of different ages and conditions arguably have a greater negative visual impact than well constructed and maintained overhead cover as proposed. The siting of the overhead cover is the very best available to minimise impact on the Barracks sight lines and is entirely contained withinn the precinct labelled Limited Heritage value.

8.1 Select the relevant alternatives related to y	your pro	posed action.
---	----------	---------------

Activities

8.9 Describe any public consultation that has been, is being or will be undertaken (including with Indigenous stakeholders).

Only with the Regiment and Brigade, as the owners (acting for the Army) and occupants of the Barracks.

8.10 Describe any environmental impact assessments that have been, is being or will be carried out under Commonwealth, State or Territory legislation including relevant impacts of the project for the alternative.

None considered relevant/necessary.

8.12 Nominate any matters of National Environmental Significance that are likely to be impacted by this alternative proposal by ticking the relevant checkboxes.

National Heritage Places

## 8.12.1 Please provide further information on potential impacts of matters of environmental significance that you have nominated above.

Continuing to keep the heritage vehicle fleet under a range of tarpaulins constitutes a greater negative visual effect than the proposed overhead protection stuctures.

8.13 Describe any impacts on the flora and fauna relevant to the alternative proposal.

None

## 8.26 What are the proposed measures for any alternative action to avoid or reduce impact?

The only possibility would be to more regularly replace the tarpaulins. This would be a continuing drain on limited museum funds. No grants are available for such expenditure - indeeed one grant application was rejected because storing heritage vehicles under tarpaulins was not considered a permanent solution and very poor practice for the conservation of heritage vehicles and machinery. The construction of more permanent overhead cover would be easier for the museum to finance with a one-off fund raising campaign. Being far superior conservation practice, it is expected that some grant sources would become available that are closed to attempts to finance replacement tarpaulins.

8.27 Do you have another alternative?

## Section 9 - Contacts, signatures and declarations

Where applicable, you must provide the contact details of each of the following entities: Person Proposing the Action; Proposed Designated Proponent and; Person Preparing the Referral. You will also be required to provide signed declarations from each of the identified entities.

9.0 Is the person proposing to take the action an Organisation or an Individual?

Organisation

9.2 Organisation

9.2.1 Job Title

Conservator/Promotions Officer

9.2.2 First Name

lan

9.2.3 Last Name

Hawthorn

9.2.4 E-mail

ianhawthorn@ozemail.com.au

9.2.5 Postal Address

**Lancer Barracks** 

2 Smith Street Parramatta NSW 2150 Australia

#### 9.2.6 ABN/ACN

**ABN** 

94630140881 - NEW SOUTH WALES LANCERS MEMORIAL MUSEUM INCORP

9.2.7 Organisation Telephone

0405482814

### 9.2.8 Organisation E-mail

enquiries@lancers.org.au

9.2.9 I qualify for exemption from fees under section 520(4C)(e)(v) of the EPBC Act because I am:
Small business
9.2.9.1 You must provide the Date/Income Year that you became a small business entity
Mon, 12/03/2012
Small Business Declaration
I have read the Department of the Environment and Energy's guidance in the online form concerning the definition of a small a business entity and confirm that I qualify for a small business exemption.
Signature: Date:
9.2.9.2 I would like to apply for a waiver of full or partial fees under Schedule 1, 5.21A of the EPBC Regulations
No
9.2.9.3 Under sub regulation 5.21A(5), you must include information about the applicant (if not you) the grounds on which the waiver is sought and the reasons why it should be made
The Museum is a registered, not for profit charity and a deductible gift recipient. The annual turnover is measured in the tens of thousands of dollars only.
Person proposing the action - Declaration

I, \_\_\_\_\_\_\_, declare that to the best of my knowledge the information I have given on, or attached to the EPBC Act Referral is complete, current and correct. I understand that giving false or misleading information is a serious offence. I declare that I am not taking the action on behalf of or for the benefit of any other person or entity.

Signature:...... Date: ......

0405482814

#### 9.2.8 Organisation E-mail

enquiries@lancers.org.au

9.2.9 I qualify for exemption from fees under section 520(4C)(e)(v) of the EPBC Act because I am:

Not applicable

#### **Small Business Declaration**

I have read the Department of the Environment and Energy's guidance in the online form concerning the definition of a small a business entity and confirm that I qualify for a small business exemption.

Signature:...

Date: 27/4/2018

9.2.9.2 I would like to apply for a waiver of full or partial fees under Schedule 1, 5.21A of the EPBC Regulations

Yes

9.2.9.3 Under sub regulation 5.21A(5), you must include information about the applicant (if not you) the grounds on which the waiver is sought and the reasons why it should be made

The Museum is a registered, not for profit charity and a deductible gift recipient. The annual turnover is measured in the tens of thousands of dollars only.

### Person proposing the action - Declaration

IAN HAWTHORNFOR

I, NSW MEMORIAL MUSEUM INCORPORATE) declare that to the best of my knowledge the information I have given on, or attached to the EPBC Act Referral is complete, current and correct. I understand that giving false or misleading information is a serious offence. I declare that I am not taking the action on behalf of or for the benefit of any other person or entity.

Signature:...

Date: 27/4/2018

IAN HAUTHORN FOR

17 I, NSW LANCERS MEMORIAL MUSEWY THE, the person proposing the action, consent to the designation of 7 IAN WANTHORN as the proponent of the purposes of the action describe in this EPBC Act Referral.

SORRY, I DO NOT UNDESTAND WHAT THIS

,	, the person proposing the action, consent to the
designation of	, the person proposing the action, consent to the as the proponent of the purposes of
he action describe in this EPBC Act Referra	l.
Signature: Date: .	
9.3 Is the Proposed Designated Proponen	t an Organisation or Individual?
Organisation	
9.5 Organisation	
9.5.1 Job Title	
Curator/Promotions Officer	
9.5.2 First Name	
an	
9.5.3 Last Name	
Hawthorn	
9.5.4 E-mail	
anhawthorn@ozemail.com.au	
9.5.5 Postal Address	
_ancer Barracks	
2 Smith Street Parramatta NSW 2150 Australia	

### 9.5.6 ABN/ACN

**ABN** 

94630140881 - NEW SOUTH WALES LANCERS MEMORIAL MUSEUM INCORP

## 9.5.7 Organisation Telephone

0405482814

9.2.9 I qualify for exemption from fees unde	er section 520(4C)(e)(v) of the EPBC Act
because I am:	

Not applicable
Small Business Declaration
I have read the Department of the Environment and Energy's guidance in the online form concerning the definition of a small a business entity and confirm that I qualify for a small business exemption.  Signature: Date: 15/05/2018  Lew Koles ON behalf of Jan Hawkhown
Lew Koles
9.2.9.2 I would like to apply for a waiver of full or partial fees under Schedule 1, 5.21A of
the EPBC Regulations
Yes
9.2.9.3 Under sub regulation 5.21A(5), you must include information about the applicant (if not you) the grounds on which the waiver is sought and the reasons why it should be made
The Museum is a registered, not for profit charity and a deductible gift recipient. The annual turnover is measured in the tens of thousands of dollars only.
Person proposing the action - Declaration
I,, declare that to the best of my knowledge the information I have given on, or attached to the EPBC Act Referral is complete, current and correct. I understand that giving false or misleading information is a serious offence. I declare that I am not taking the action on behalf of or for the benefit of any other person or entity.
Signature: Date:
I,, the person proposing the action, consent to the designation of as the proponent of the purposes of
the action describe in this EPBC Act Referral.
Signature: Date:

9.3 Is the Proposed Designated Proponent an Organisation or Individual?

Organisation

9.5 Organisation

### 9.5.8 Organisation E-mail

enquiries@lancers.org.au

Proposed designated proponent - Declaration
I,, the proposed designated proponent, consent to
the designation of myself as the proponent for the purposes of the action described in this EPBC Act Referral.
Signature: Date:
9.6 Is the Referring Party an Organisation or Individual?
Individual
9.7 Individual
9.7.1 Job Title
Curator/Promotions Officer
9.7.2 First Name
lan
9.7.3 Last Name
Hawthorn
9.7.4 E-mail
ianhawthorn@ozemail.com.au
Referring Party - Declaration
I,, I declare that to the best of my knowledge the information I have given on, or attached to this EPBC Act Referral is complete, current and correct. I understand that giving false or misleading information is a serious offence.
Signature: Date:

### **Appendix A - Attachments**

The following attachments have been supplied with this EPBC Act Referral:

- 1. aerial\_view\_lancer\_barracks\_2018.jpg
- 2. aerial\_view\_parade\_ground\_looking\_east\_from\_barrack\_building.jpg
- 3. lancer\_barracks\_city\_location.pdf
- 4. lancer\_barracks\_site\_plan.pdf
- 5. proposed\_site\_of\_overhead\_vehicle\_cover.jpg
- 6. sig\_assest.\_museum\_and\_barracks.pdf