



Title of Proposal - 415-425 Cooper Street, Epping, Victoria

Section 1 - Summary of your proposed action

Provide a summary of your proposed action, including any consultations undertaken.

1.1 Project Industry Type

Commercial Development

1.2 Provide a detailed description of the proposed action, including all proposed activities.

The proposed action is the subdivision and development of a 9.718 hectare property at 415-425 Cooper Street, Epping, Victoria. The action involves the construction of estate roads, subdivision of land parcels (tentatively into 21 lots) and the development of these land parcels to create an industrial estate. The attached map shows the study area extent, including the extent of native vegetation. The proposed masterplan may vary in configuration; however the intent of the development, in that the entire study area will be developed, will remain unchanged.

1.3 What is the extent and location of your proposed action? Use the polygon tool on the map below to mark the location of your proposed action.

Area	Point	Latitude	Longitude
Site of proposed action 1		-37.647940464902	144.98633663444
Site of proposed action 2		-37.648250526843	144.98913149624
Site of proposed action 3		-37.651839505786	144.98842875747
Site of proposed action 4		-37.651520964373	144.98570363311
Site of proposed action 5		-37.647940464902	144.98633663444

1.5 Provide a brief physical description of the property on which the proposed action will take place and the location of the proposed action (e.g. proximity to major towns, or for off-shore actions, shortest distance to mainland).

The study area is located at 415-425 Cooper Street, Epping, Victoria, approximately 20 kilometres north of Melbourne's CBD. The site covers approximately 9.718 hectares and is bound by Cooper Street to the north, a quarry to the south, Quarry Access Road and the Hume Freeway to the east, and existing industrial development to the west.

.According to the Department of Environment, Land, Water and Planning (DELWP) Native



Vegetation Information Management (NVIM) Tool, the study area occurs within the Victorian Volcanic Plain bioregion. It is located within the jurisdiction of the Port Philip and Westernport Catchment Management Authority (CMA) and the Whittlesea City Council municipality.

1.6 What is the size of the development footprint or work area?

9.718 hectares

1.7 Is the proposed action a street address or lot?

Street Address

415- 425 Cooper Street
Epping VIC 3076
Australia

1.8 Primary Jurisdiction.

Victoria

1.9 Has the person proposing to take the action received any Australian Government grant funding to undertake this project?

No

1.10 Is the proposed action subject to local government planning approval?

Yes

1.10.1 Is there a local government area and council contact for the proposal?

Yes

1.10.1.0 Council contact officer details

1.10.1.1 Name of relevant council contact officer.

Darren Jackson

1.10.1.2 E-mail

darren.jackson@whittlesea.vic.gov.au

1.10.1.3 Telephone Number



(03) 9217 2186

1.11 Provide an estimated start and estimated end date for the proposed action.

Start date 06/2017

End date 06/2018

1.12 Provide details of the context, planning framework and State and/or Local government requirements.

Planning and Environment Act 1987

In Victoria the control, use and development of land, including native vegetation removal, is managed under the *Planning and Environment Act 1987* and municipal planning schemes. Under the Victorian system each planning scheme contains State and local policy provisions as well as provisions that control the use and development of land.

The project is located within City of Whittlesea and is currently zoned Industrial 1 Zone (IN1Z), and covered by a Design and Development Overlay – Schedule 2 (DDO2) and a Development Plan Overlay – Schedule 33 (DPO33). There are no specific environmental or biodiversity-related implications contained in the application requirements or decision guidelines of these zones and overlays.

A planning permit will be required from the Whittlesea City Council to remove native vegetation for the proposed development under Clause 52.17 of the planning scheme.

Biodiversity Assessment Guidelines

The State Planning Policy Framework and the decision guidelines at Clause 52.17 (Native Vegetation) and Clause 12.01 require Planning and Responsible Authorities to have regard for 'Permitted clearing of native vegetation - Biodiversity assessment guidelines' (the Guidelines) (DEPI 2013).

The study area is within Location B, with 0.816 hectares of native vegetation proposed to be removed. As such, the permit will be assessed in accordance with the application requirements of the Moderate Risk-based pathway.

The offset requirement for native vegetation removal is 0.130 General Biodiversity Equivalence Units (BEU). No Specific offset obligations are generated by the proposal.

Flora and Fauna Guarantee Act 1988

The FFG Act is the primary legislation dealing with biodiversity conservation and sustainable use of native flora and fauna in Victoria. Proponents are required to apply for an FFG Act Permit to 'take' listed and/or protected flora species, listed vegetation communities and listed fish species in areas of public land (i.e. within road reserves, drainage lines and public reserves). An



FFG Act permit is generally not required for removal of species or communities on private land, or for the removal of habitat for a listed terrestrial fauna species.

There is suitable habitat within the study area for several species listed or protected under the FFG Act. However the study area is privately owned, as such a permit under the FFG Act is not required.

Catchment and Land Protection Act 1994

The *Catchment and Land Protection Act 1994* (CaLP Act) contains provisions relating to catchment planning, land management, noxious weeds and pest animals. Landowners are responsible for the control of any infestation of noxious weeds and pest fauna species to minimise their spread and impact on ecological values.

Weeds listed as noxious under the CaLP Act were recorded during the assessment (Chilean Needle-grass, African Box-thorn, Artichoke Thistle, Spear Thistle, and Sweet Briar). Similarly, there is evidence that the study area is currently occupied by several pest fauna species listed under the CaLP Act (European Rabbit; Red Fox). A Weed Management Plan and a pest fauna eradication plan may be required.

1.13 Describe any public consultation that has been, is being or will be undertaken, including with Indigenous stakeholders.

Not applicable.

1.14 Describe any environmental impact assessments that have been or will be carried out under Commonwealth, State or Territory legislation including relevant impacts of the project.

The proposed development is not subject to a Commonwealth or State environmental impact process.

1.15 Is this action part of a staged development (or a component of a larger project)?

No

1.16 Is the proposed action related to other actions or proposals in the region?

No



Section 2 - Matters of National Environmental Significance

Describe the affected area and the likely impacts of the proposal, emphasising the relevant matters protected by the EPBC Act. Refer to relevant maps as appropriate. The [interactive map tool](#) can help determine whether matters of national environmental significance or other matters protected by the EPBC Act are likely to occur in your area of interest. Consideration of likely impacts should include both direct and indirect impacts.

Your assessment of likely impacts should consider whether a bioregional plan is relevant to your proposal. The following resources can assist you in your assessment of likely impacts:

- [Profiles of relevant species/communities](#) (where available), that will assist in the identification of whether there is likely to be a significant impact on them if the proposal proceeds;
- [Significant Impact Guidelines 1.1 – Matters of National Environmental Significance](#);
- [Significant Impact Guideline 1.2 – Actions on, or impacting upon, Commonwealth land and Actions by Commonwealth Agencies](#).

2.1 Is the proposed action likely to impact on the values of any World Heritage properties?

No

2.2 Is the proposed action likely to impact on the values of any National Heritage places?

No

2.3 Is the proposed action likely to impact on the ecological character of a Ramsar wetland?

No

2.4 Is the proposed action likely to impact on the members of any listed threatened species (except a conservation dependent species) or any threatened ecological community, or their habitat?

Yes

2.4.1 Impact table

Species	Impact
Golden Sun Moth <i>Synemon plana</i>	Removal of 8.87 hectares of confirmed habitat;



Species	Impact
Seasonal Herbaceous Wetlands (Freshwater) of the Victorian Lowland Plains (SHWVLP)	Removal of 0.816 hectares of the ecological community.

2.4.2 Do you consider this impact to be significant?

Yes

2.5 Is the proposed action likely to impact on the members of any listed migratory species, or their habitat?

No

2.6 Is the proposed action to be undertaken in a marine environment (outside Commonwealth marine areas)?

No

2.7 Is the proposed action likely to impact on any part of the environment in the Commonwealth land?

No

2.8 Is the proposed action taking place in the Great Barrier Reef Marine Park?

No

2.9 Will there be any impact on a water resource related to coal / gas / mining?

No

2.10 Is the proposed action a nuclear action?

No

2.11 Is the proposed action to be taken by the Commonwealth agency?

No

2.12 Is the proposed action to be undertaken in a Commonwealth Heritage Place Overseas?

No



2.13 Is the proposed action likely to impact on any part of the environment in the Commonwealth marine area?

No



Section 3 - Description of the project area

Provide a description of the project area and the affected area, including information about the following features (where relevant to the project area and/or affected area, and to the extent not otherwise addressed in Section 2).

3.1 Describe the flora and fauna relevant to the project area.

Flora

The Victorian Biodiversity Atlas (VBA) (DELWP 2017) contains records of 32 national and State significant flora species previously recorded within 10 kilometres of the study area. The Protected Matters Search Tool (PMST) (DoEE 2017) nominated an additional nine nationally significant species which have not been previously recorded but have the potential to occur in the locality.

Of these species, there is suitable habitat within the study area for the nationally significant Matted Flax-lily *Dianella amoena*, and the State significant Arching Flax-lily *Dianella* sp. aff. *longifolia* (Benambra), Tough Scurf-pea *Cullen tenax*, Rye-Beetle-grass *Tripogon loliiformis*, Plump Swamp Wallaby-grass *Amphibromus pithogastrus*, Purple Blown-grass *Lachnagrostis punicea* subsp. *punicea* and Slender Bindweed *Convolvulus angustissimus* subsp. *omnigracilis*.

Based on the previously documented distribution of the nationally significant Spiny Rice-flower *Pimelea spinescens* subsp. *spinescens* within 20 kilometres of the study area, no records of the species occur within 15 kilometres of the study area, with all records being located to the west of Melbourne, rather than to the north of Melbourne (DELWP 2017). Based on the absence of records from the local area and consistent failure to detect the species from almost identical habitats nearby, it is considered that Spiny Rice-flower are no longer present in this part of Melbourne. The likelihood of Spiny Rice-flower occurring in the study area is therefore considered to be very low, and no further surveys are required.

Despite systematic surveys over two site visits across the entire site (with the exception of the land immediately around the dwelling), during suitable survey conditions and at a time when Matted Flax-lily detection is high around Melbourne, no Matted Flax-lily were detected within the study area (Ecology and Heritage Partners 2017b).

Fauna

The VBA contains records of 36 national and State significant fauna species previously recorded within 10 kilometres of the study area (DELWP 2017). The PMST nominated an additional 14 nationally significant fauna species which have not been previously recorded but have the potential to occur in the locality (DoEE 2017).

Of these species, there is suitable habitat within the study area for the nationally significant



Golden Sun Moth *Synemon plana*, and its presence in high numbers was confirmed during a brief site visit undertaken by Ecology and Heritage Partners on 13 December 2016. Preferred plant species are present in large numbers through much of the study area, while several hundred records of the species occur within close proximity to the study area. Based on the confirmation of the presence of the species during a brief site visit undertaken by Ecology and Heritage Partners on 13 December 2016, it is confirmed that a population of the species currently persists within the study area (Ecology and Heritage Partners 2017a).

There are only three records (all from 1988 and 1990) of the nationally significant Striped Legless Lizard *Delma impar* within 10 kilometres of the study area, with all recent records being located to the west of Melbourne, rather than the north of Melbourne (DELWP 2017). Based on the low number of records from the local area and consistent failure to detect the species from almost identical habitats nearby at the Aurora development, and Melbourne Wholesale Markets (both in Epping), it is considered that Striped Legless Lizard either no longer exist in this part of Melbourne, or they occur in extremely low densities. The likelihood of Striped Legless Lizard occurring in the study area is therefore considered to be very low, and no further surveys are required.

Although some State-significant and regionally significant fauna species are considered likely to occasionally visit the study area for foraging purposes (predominantly birds) these species are unlikely to utilise the study area on a regular or permanent basis.

3.2 Describe the hydrology relevant to the project area (including water flows).

The study area is generally flat with no waterways within or immediately adjacent to the site. The south-east corner of the site contains a low-lying depression bounded to the south by a bund wall in the adjoining quarry that was waterlogged at the time of the assessment undertaken in November 2016, but was dry during the targeted surveys undertaken in January and February 2017.

3.3 Describe the soil and vegetation characteristics relevant to the project area.

The study area was composed of basaltic soils (of volcanic origin) on an relatively flat landscape. Scattered presence of occasional stony knolls exhibited evidence of basalt rock outcropping with well drained brown-black friable soils. Lower-lying areas exhibited no stony knolls, and comprised heavy brown-grey clay-rich soils prone to seasonal water-logging. Mechanical disturbance/modification of these landforms was evident in the study area, with these areas likely subjected to past ploughing and rock-removal works. The vegetation occurring in the study area is typical of the drier-spectrum vegetation associated with Central Victoria and the Plains Grassland (historically) and Plains Grassy Wetland Ecological Vegetation Classes.

3.4 Describe any outstanding natural features and/or any other important or unique



values relevant to the project area.

There are no outstanding natural features in the project area.

3.5 Describe the status of native vegetation relevant to the project area.

The 9.718 hectare project area contains one remnant patch comprising an area of 0.816 hectares representative of Plains Grassy Wetland (EVC 125). This patch is located in the low-lying area to the south-east, where it is likely that seasonal inundation of this area after winter and/or spring rain reduces the capacity for invasion by Chilean Needle-grass, and other common pasture weeds, as per the remainder of the study area.

Plains Grassy Wetland is dominated by a high cover of indigenous perennial grasses, rushes and sedges, in particular Common Swamp Wallaby-grass *Amphibromus nervosus*, Brown-back Wallaby-grass *Rytidosperma duttoniana*, Common Spike-sedge *Eleocharis acuta*, Small Spike-sedge *Eleocharis pusilla*, and Hollow Rush *Juncus amabilis*. Scattered occurrences of Streaked Arrow-grass *Triglochin striata* and Crane's Bill *Geranium retrorsum* were also observed in the patch.

3.6 Describe the gradient (or depth range if action is to be taken in a marine area) relevant to the project area.

Not applicable.

3.7 Describe the current condition of the environment relevant to the project area.

The study area and surrounds are predominantly degraded, highly modified grasslands supporting small pockets of native vegetation. The study area is bound by the a Quarry Access Road, and Hume Freeway to the east, ongoing industrial development to the west, a working quarry to the south, and a busy arterial road (Cooper Street) to the north.

Areas not supporting native vegetation are dominated by the noxious weed, and Weed of National Significance Chilean Needle-grass. Further details describing the current condition of the environment are provided in Ecology and Heritage Partners (2017a).

3.8 Describe any Commonwealth Heritage Places or other places recognised as having heritage values relevant to the project area.

Not applicable.

3.9 Describe any Indigenous heritage values relevant to the project area.



Not applicable.

3.10 Describe the tenure of the action area (e.g. freehold, leasehold) relevant to the project area.

Freehold.

3.11 Describe any existing or any proposed uses relevant to the project area.

The study area currently comprises a vacant dwelling and associated gardens in the north-east corner of the site. It is proposed to subdivide and develop the study area for industrial purposes.



Section 4 - Measures to avoid or reduce impacts

Provide a description of measures that will be implemented to avoid, reduce, manage or offset any relevant impacts of the action. Include, if appropriate, any relevant reports or technical advice relating to the feasibility and effectiveness of the proposed measures.

Examples of relevant measures to avoid or reduce impacts may include the timing of works, avoidance of important habitat, specific design measures, or adoption of specific work practices.

4.1 Describe the measures you will undertake to avoid or reduce impact from your proposed action.

The current development plan proposes to develop the entire site with no native vegetation, or habitat for Golden Sun Moth to be retained. As such, no minimisation of impacts are proposed.

Given the current condition of the site, surrounding land-uses, and small, isolated extent of the SHWVLP ecological community, minimisation can be considered unreasonable at the site level as any retained native vegetation would be likely to have limited long term prospects of retaining its current biodiversity value should development in the study area proceed.

Further, given that 8.054 hectares of the 8.87 hectares of confirmed Golden Sun Moth habitat is non-native vegetation dominated by the noxious, and high threat weed Chilean Needle-grass, the retention of any of this vegetation is also considered unreasonable in the context of the ongoing, surrounding development, and attempts to minimise the further spread of this weed throughout the locality.

4.2 For matters protected by the EPBC Act that may be affected by the proposed action, describe the proposed environmental outcomes to be achieved.

Should the action be considered a controlled action, the proponent will source a suitable offset in accordance with the EPBC Offset Policy to compensate for the loss the nationally significant SHWVLP community.

The proponent intends to meet the Golden Sun Moth offset obligation generated by the proposed removal of 8.87 hectares of habiat as per the "*Policy Statement for Melbourne urban development proposals needing consideration under Parts 7, 8 and 9 of the EPBC Act*" (MUD Policy) (DoE 2013). The policy describes criteria to determine whether a proposed action may be considered under the strategic assessment framework. Eligible projects may use this framework for offset into the Western Grasslands Reserves where impacts cannot be avoided or otherwise mitigated.

Based on the eligibility criteria detailed in the policy statement (DoE 2013) , the proposal is



eligible to offset impacts to GSM in accordance with the MUD policy.



Section 5 – Conclusion on the likelihood of significant impacts

A checkbox tick identifies each of the matters of National Environmental Significance you identified in section 2 of this application as likely to be a significant impact.

Review the matters you have identified below. If a matter ticked below has been incorrectly identified you will need to return to Section 2 to edit.

5.1.1 World Heritage Properties

No

5.1.2 National Heritage Places

No

5.1.3 Wetlands of International Importance (declared Ramsar Wetlands)

No

5.1.4 Listed threatened species or any threatened ecological community

Listed threatened species and communities - Yes

5.1.5 Listed migratory species

No

5.1.6 Commonwealth marine environment

No

5.1.7 Protection of the environment from actions involving Commonwealth land

No

5.1.8 Great Barrier Reef Marine Park

No

5.1.9 A water resource, in relation to coal/gas/mining

No



5.1.10 Protection of the environment from nuclear actions

No

5.1.11 Protection of the environment from Commonwealth actions

No

5.1.12 Commonwealth Heritage places overseas

No

5.2 If no significant matters are identified, provide the key reasons why you think the proposed action is not likely to have a significant impact on a matter protected under the EPBC Act and therefore not a controlled action.

Not applicable



Section 6 – Environmental record of the person proposing to take the action

Provide details of any proceedings under Commonwealth, State or Territory law against the person proposing to take the action that pertain to the protection of the environment or the conservation and sustainable use of natural resources.

6.1 Does the person taking the action have a satisfactory record of responsible environmental management? Please explain in further detail.

Cooper Street Pty Ltd have been in the land development industry since 2005. During that period, there have been no breaches of environmental management requirements and standards.

6.2 Provide details of any past or present proceedings under a Commonwealth, State or Territory law for the protection of the environment or the conservation and sustainable use of natural resources against either (a) the person proposing to take the action or, (b) if a permit has been applied for in relation to the action – the person making the application.

Not applicable.

6.3 Will the action be taken in accordance with the corporation's environmental policy and planning framework?

Yes

6.3.1 If the person taking the action is a corporation, please provide details of the corporation's environmental policy and planning framework.

The proposed action is in accordance with the Environmental Policy at Cooper Street Pty Ltd, which has objectives and targets to minimise their environmental footprint by working with stakeholders in compliance with legal and other requirements and be a role model for others to follow in land development practices.

6.4 Has the person taking the action previously referred an action under the EPBC Act, or been responsible for undertaking an action referred under the EPBC Act?

No



Section 7 – Information sources

You are required to provide the references used in preparing the referral including the reliability of the source.

7.1 List references used in preparing the referral (please provide the reference source reliability and any uncertainties of source).

Reference Source	Reliability	Uncertainties
Ecology and Heritage Partners Pty Ltd 2017a. Biodiversity Assessment: 415-425 Cooper Street, Epping, Victoria. report prepared for Cooper Street Pty Ltd. January 2017.	High	N/A
Ecology and Heritage Partners Pty Ltd 2017b. Targeted Surveys for Matted Flax-lily <i>Dianella amoena</i> , 415-425 Cooper Street, Epping, Victoria. report prepared for Cooper Street Pty Ltd. March 2017.	High	N/A
DELWP 2017. Victorian Biodiversity Atlas. Sourced from GIS layers: "VBA_FLORA25", "VBA_FLORA100", "VBA_FAUNA25", "VBA_FAUNA100", August 2016. Victorian Department of Environment, Land, Water and Planning, Melbourne, Victoria.	High	N/A
DoEE 2017. Protected Matters Search Tool: Interactive Map [www Document]. URL: < http://www.environment.gov.au/epbc/pmst/ >. Commonwealth Department of the Environment and Energy, Canberra, ACT.	High	N/A
DoE 2013. Policy Statement for High Melbourne urban development proposals needing consideration under Parts 7, 8 and 9 of the EPBC Act. Department of the Environment,	High	N/A



Reference Source	Reliability	Uncertainties
Commonwealth of Australia. 2013.		



Section 8 – Proposed alternatives

You are required to complete this section if you have any feasible alternatives to taking the proposed action (including not taking the action) that were considered but not proposed.

8.0 Provide a description of the feasible alternative?

Alternative locations are not available to the proponent and are therefore considered not applicable.

8.1 Select the relevant alternatives related to your proposed action.

Timeframes

8.2 Provide an estimated start and estimated end date for the proposed alternative action.

Start 05/2017

End 06/2017

8.27 Do you have another alternative?

No



Section 9 – Contacts, signatures and declarations

Where applicable, you must provide the contact details of each of the following entities: Person Proposing the Action; Proposed Designated Proponent and; Person Preparing the Referral. You will also be required to provide signed declarations from each of the identified entities.

9.0 Is the person proposing to take the action an Organisation or an Individual?

Organisation

9.2 Organisation

9.2.1 Job Title

~~Senior Development Manager~~ Director

9.2.2 First Name

~~Gene~~ Elizabeth

9.2.3 Last Name

~~Hubay~~ Whitmore

9.2.4 E-mail

~~ghubay@costafox.com~~ Lwhitmore@CostaAM.com.au

9.2.5 Postal Address

~~Suite 102~~ PO Box 303
~~54 Davis Avenue~~ Geelong
~~South Yarra VIC 3141~~ Vic 3220
~~Australia~~

9.2.6 ABN/ACN

ABN

88215211912 - The Trustee for Cooper St Epping Unit Trust

9.2.7 Organisation Telephone



(03) 8547 ~~7477~~ 52236617

9.2.8 Organisation E-mail

ghubay@costafox.com L Whitmore@costaAM.com.au

9.2.9 I qualify for exemption from fees under section 520(4C)(e)(v) of the EPBC Act because I am:

~~Small business~~ Not applicable

9.2.9.1 You must provide the Date/Income Year that you became a small business entity:

~~Tue, 01/01/2008~~ Not applicable.

~~Small Business Declaration~~

~~I have read the Department of the Environment and Energy's guidance in the online form concerning the definition of a small a business entity and confirm that I qualify for a small business exemption.~~

~~Signature: X Date:~~

9.2.9.2 I would like to apply for a waiver of full or partial fees under Schedule 1, 5.21A of the EPBC Regulations

~~Yes~~ NO

9.2.9.3 Under sub regulation 5.21A(5), you must include information about the applicant (if not you) the grounds on which the waiver is sought and the reasons why it should be made

~~22~~ Not applicable

Declaration

I, Elizabeth Whitmore, declare that to the best of my knowledge the information I have given on, or attached to the EPBC Act Referral is complete, current and correct. I understand that giving false or misleading information is a serious offence. I declare that I am not taking the action on behalf of or for the benefit of any other person or entity.

Signature: [Signature] Date: 02/05/2017



I, Elizabeth Whitmore, the person proposing the action, consent to the designation of Geno Hubay as the proponent of the purposes of the action describe in this EPBC Act Referral.

Signature: [Signature] Date: 21/5/17

9.3 Is the Proposed Designated Proponent an Organisation or Individual?

Organisation

9.5 Organisation

9.5.1 Job Title

Senior Development Manager

9.5.2 First Name

Geno

9.5.3 Last Name

Hubay

9.5.4 E-mail

ghubay@costafox.com

9.5.5 Postal Address

Suite 102

54 Davis Avenue
South Yarra VIC 3141
Australia

9.5.6 ABN/ACN

ABN

88215211912 - The Trustee for Cooper St Epping Unit Trust

9.5.7 Organisation Telephone

(03) 8547 7477



9.5.8 Organisation E-mail

ghubay@costafox.com

Declaration

I, Geno Hubay, the proposed designated proponent, consent to the designation of myself as the proponent for the purposes of the action described in this EPBC Act Referral.

Signature: [Signature] Date: 10/05/2017

9.6 Is the Referring Party an Organisation or Individual?

Organisation

9.8 Organisation

9.8.1 Job Title

Senior Botanist

9.8.2 First Name

Shannon

9.8.3 Last Name

LeBel

9.8.4 E-mail

slebel@ehpartners.com.au

9.8.5 Postal Address

292 Mount Alexander Road
Travancore VIC 3032
Australia

9.8.6 ABN/ACN

ABN

65685233760 - The trustee for The EP Unit Trust



9.8.7 Organisation Telephone

(03) 9377 0100

9.8.8 Organisation E-mail

enquire@ehpartners.com.au

Declaration

I, SHARON LEE, I declare that to the best of my knowledge the information I have given on, or attached to this EPBC Act Referral is complete, current and correct. I understand that giving false or misleading information is a serious offence.

Signature: [Signature] Date: 18/04/2017



Appendix A - Attachments

The following attachments have been supplied with this EPBC Act Referral:

1. 8732_ehp_ba_cooperst_final_12012017.pdf
2. 8732_fig02_ecolfeat_pv3_red.pdf
3. 9071_ehp_cooperst_mfl_final_31032017.pdf
4. cam_no_4_unit_trust_deed_-_certified.pdf
5. cooper_st_trust_deed_-_variation_1_-_certified.pdf
6. updated_form_costafox.pdf